



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-57586-2025

Date of decision: 28.11.2025

VICKY

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL

Present:- Mr. G.S. Jagpal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Adesh Pal Singh, AAG, Punjab.

.....

RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL, J. (ORAL)

1. Through the instant petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (For short "BNSS"), the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR No.45 dated 06.09.2025 under Section 420 IPC, registered at Police Station Division No.1, District Police Commissionerate, Ludhiana.

2. On 12.11.2025, following order had been passed: -

“ Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.45 dated 06.09.2025, registered under Section 420 IPC, at Police Station Division No.1, District Police Commissionerate, Ludhiana.

The allegation against the petitioner, as per the prosecution case, is that the petitioner submitted a false affidavit for getting the government job on compassionate ground after the death of his father.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. Learned counsel further submits that the mother of the petitioner had expired on 24.04.2021 and her death certificate is placed on record as Annexure P-3. Learned counsel submits that the employment was given to the petitioner on compassionate ground only because the mother and father of the petitioner were residing separately and there was no source of income. Learned counsel further submits that the entire case is of documentary evidence,



therefore, no recovery is to be effected from the petitioner. The petitioner has clean antecedents and there is no chance for the petitioner to tamper with the evidence. Learned counsel has submitted that the petitioner is ready and willing to join the investigation as and when called upon to do so by the investigating agency.

On the other hand, learned State counsel has filed the status report. The same is taken on record. He has opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail, by submitting that the allegations levelled against the petitioner are serious in nature. However, he could not controvert the fact that the petitioner is not involved in any other case.

Adjourned to 28.11.2025.

In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to join investigation within a week from today and would appear as and when required by the Investigating Officer and cooperate with the Investigating Agency. In the event of arrest, he shall be admitted to interim bail on furnishing of bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 12.11.2025 passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation.
4. Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Bhupinder Singh, has submitted that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no longer required for further investigation.
5. In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, the interim order dated 12.11.2025 is made absolute. The petitioner shall continue to join investigation, as and when called by the Investigating Officer and shall also abide by the conditions as provided under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

(RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL)
JUDGE

28.11.2025
puneet

Puneet Sharma
2025.12.02 17.47
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this order/judgment

- i) Whether speaking/reasoned?
ii) Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No