

**CRM-M-56550-2025 (O&M) 1****IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

214

CRM-M-56550-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision:27.11.2025

Akashdeep @ Qazi

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present: Ms. Jigyana Kharbanda, Advocate, for
Mr. Karanjit Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, DAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

1. On 06.10.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

“1. The instant first petition has been filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023, seeking anticipatory bail in case FIR No.211 dated 17.11.2023, under Sections 399, 307, 120-B IPC, 1860, and Section 25 of Arms Act, (Section 307 IPC deleted later on and Sections 398, 452 IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Dinanagar, District Gurdaspur (Annexure P-1).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is not named in the FIR. The investigation *qua* the main accused named in the FIR is complete and even challan has been presented before the Area Magistrate. It is further contended that the petitioner has been implicated on the basis of the disclosure statement of the co-accused. The petitioner is ready to join the investigation.

3. Notice of motion.

**CRM-M-56550-2025 (O&M) 2**

4. Mr. Balwinder Singh, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and seeks an accommodation to assist the Court.

5. Adjourned to **27.11.2025**.

6. In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join investigation within a period of ten days and in the event of arrest, he shall be released on interim bail, to the satisfaction of the arresting officer/Area Magistrate, subject to furnishing bonds/surety, and also subject to the following conditions:-

(i) That the petitioner shall further make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.

(ii) That the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer.

(iii) That the petitioner shall not leave India without the prior permission of the Trial Court/CJM concerned.”

2. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the aforementioned order, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, he shall make himself available without demur.

3. Learned State counsel on instructions affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner and cooperating with the



CRM-M-56550-2025 (O&M) 3

investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.

4. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 06.10.2025 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. (now 482(2) of BNSS, 2023)

5. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

**(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

November 27, 2025
dinesh

Whether speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No