



CR-7547-2023(O&M)

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CR-7547-2023(O&M)

Date of decision : 15.01.2025

Anju Bala

... Petitioner

Versus

Mangat Rai Jindal

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr.Ishan Gupta, Advocate,
Ms.Deepali Jindal, Advocate and
Mr.Amrinder singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Yagsimant Attari, Advocate
for the respondent.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. This is a Civil Revision Petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India praying for setting aside of the impugned order dated 20.10.2023 (Annexure P-1) passed by the District Judge, Sangrur, in case no.TA/66/2023, vide which the application for transfer filed by the respondent-husband was allowed and the petition under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act filed by the respondent was transferred from the Court of Additional Principal Judge, Family Court, Sangrur to the Court of Principal Judge, Family Court, Sunam.

2. On 14.12.2023, a Coordinate Bench of this Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

*“Present: Mr. Ishan Gupta, Advocate and
Ms. Palvi, Advocate, for the petitioner.*



Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner, a lady, is residing at Langowal, District Sangrur. The respondent filed divorce petition which is pending in Sangrur but on wrong facts got the impugned order passed vide which divorce petition has been transferred from the Court at Sangrur to the Court at Sunam causing undue inconvenience to the petitioner.

Notice of motion for 08.04.2024.

In the meantime, operation of the impugned order dated 20.10.2023 (Annexure P-1) shall remain stayed. December 14, 2023”

3. Learned counsel appearing for the respondent has submitted that he has no objection in case the impugned order is set aside and the case is tried in Sangrur itself before the designated Court. It is further submitted that the respondent has filed an affidavit to the said effect.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in view of the above, the present petition be allowed and the impugned order be set aside.
5. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances and the joint statement made by the learned counsel for the parties before this Court, the present petition is allowed and the order dated 20.10.2023 is set aside.
6. Pending application, if any, stands disposed of in view of the abovesaid order.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

January 15, 2025

Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No