


**CRM-M-56237-2025****IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH****137****CRM-M-56237-2025****Date of decision: 14.10.2025****SATNAM SINGH @ SATTU****....PETITIONER****V/s****STATE OF PUNJAB****..RESPONDENTS****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA****Present:- Mr. Harpal Singh Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.****Mr. Subhash Godara, Addl. A.G., Punjab.*************SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (ORAL)**

1. Present petition under Section 582 of BNSS, 2023, has been filed for quashing of order dated 23.07.2024 (Annexure P-4) passed by learned Judge, Special Court, Amritsar, District Amritsar in case FIR No.204 dated 30.08.2021, registered under Section 21(B) of NDPS Act, 1985 (Section 29 of NDPS Act added later on) at Police Station Gate Hakima, Amritsar, vide which, bail of the petitioner was cancelled and his presence was ordered to be secured through warrants of arrest.
2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel.
3. Keeping in view the facts of the present case and contentions of the learned counsel of the parties, the petitioner was granted bail by Ld. Judge, Special Court, Amritsar vide order dt. 18.10.2021 and directed to appear on each and every date of hearing; on one such hearing the petitioner/accused failed to appear before the Trial Court, consequently his



bail was cancelled by the Trial Court and his bail bonds were forfeited to the State vide impugned order dt. 23.07.2024 passed by the Ld. Judge, Special Court, Amritsar; thereafter proclamation proceedings were initiated against petitioner/accused as per contention of Ld. State Counsel; in the meanwhile the accused again applied for Anticipatory Bail, and vide order dated 29.11.2024, Ld. Addl. Sessions Judge, Amritsar ordered the accused to surrender before the Trial Court within 15 days and also imposed costs of Rs.500/-; despite this opportunity the accused failed to appear before the Trial Court on the pretext that he was unable to secure a surety within time, and for the last more than one year the petitioner has not appeared before the Trial Court, as such, he has misused the concession of bail.

4. In view of the above, this Court finds no merit in the present petition and the same stands dismissed accordingly.

14.10.2025
ankit

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking /reasoned	Yes / No
Whether Reportable	Yes / No