

**CRM-M-56036-2025 (O&M) 1****IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

213/2

CRM-M-56036-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision:27.11.2025

Seema Garg

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. Aakash Dalal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amrik Narwal, DAG, Haryana

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

1. On 01.10.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel submits that the petitioner, daughter-in-law of coaccused Shakuntala Garg, has been attributed fist and kick blows to the complainant and the dispute was with regard to parking of Aactiva in front of her house. She is ready and willing to join the investigation as and when required by the investigating agency and will cooperate.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. BS Saroha, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and Mr. Eashan Bhardwaj, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of the complainant.

**CRM-M-56036-2025 (O&M) 2**

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation on or before 09.10.2025. In the event of her arrest, she shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance of conditions as enshrined under Section 482(2) BNSS.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioner does not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.

Adjourned to 27.11.2025.”

2. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the aforementioned order, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, she shall make herself available without demur.

3. Learned State counsel on instructions affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner and cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.

4. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 01.10.2025 granting interim bail to her, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. (now 482(2) of BNSS, 2023)

CRM-M-56036-2025 (O&M) 3

5. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to her.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

November 27, 2025
dinesh

Whether speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No