



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

216

CRM-M-56363-2025
Date of decision: 12.11.2025

BALKAR SINGH @ JOTI

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL

Present:- Mr. Harvinder Singh Maan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Shukla, DAG, Punjab.

RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.161 dated 05.09.2025, registered under Sections 126 (1), 115(2), 118 (1), 351(2), 191(1) and 190 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, at Police Station Sirhind, District Fatehgarh Sahib.

2. On 09.10.2025, following order had been passed: -

“Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.161 dated 05.09.2025, registered under Sections 126 (1), 115(2), 118 (1), 351(2), 191(1) and 190 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, at Police Station Sirhind, District Fatehgarh Sahib.

Status report by way of affidavit of Sukhnaaz Singh, PPS, Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Fatehgarh Sahib,



District Fatehgarh Sahib on behalf of the respondent-State has been filed. The same is taken on record.

Brief facts as per the prosecution case are that the petitioner along with other co-accused had caused injuries to the complainant and his friend due to some old enmity.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. He argued that the complainant himself is a habitual offender as number of FIRs have already been registered against him. He argued that if the contents of the FIR are taken to be true, even then no specific role has been attributed to the present petitioner and he was stated to be armed with a stick along with other co-accused who gave stick blows to the complainant. Learned counsel has further submitted that the petitioner is ready and willing to join the investigation as and when called upon to do so by the investigating agency.

On the other hand, learned State counsel has opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail. While referring to the status report, it is argued that the allegations levelled against the petitioner are serious in nature.

Adjourned to 03.11.2025.

In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to join investigation within a week from today and would appear as and when required by the Investigating Officer and cooperate with the Investigating Agency. In the event of arrest, he shall be admitted to interim bail on furnishing of bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 09.10.2025 passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

CRM-M-56363-2025

2025.PHHC.156541



4. Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Raghbir Singh, has submitted that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no longer required for further investigation.

5. In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, the interim order dated 09.10.2025 is made absolute. The petitioner shall continue to join investigation, as and when called by the Investigating Officer and shall also abide by the conditions as provided under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

12.11.2025

Mohit Bishnoi

**(RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL)
JUDGE**

i)	Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
ii)	Whether reportable?	Yes/No