



**214 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-56313-2025

Date of decision: 12.12.2025

SOHIL

...PETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present: Mr. Satish Chaudhary, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramender Singh Chauhan, AAG, Haryana.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (ORAL)

1. By way of the present petition filed under Section 483 BNSS, 2023 the petitioner is seeking regular bail in case FIR No.92 dated 09.06.2025 registered under Sections 318(4), 319, 336(3), 340(2) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNS') at Police Station Cyber Crime Nuh, District Nuh.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner was arrested in this case on the basis of suspicion and except this, nothing incriminating has been collected against him during investigation; the petitioner is in custody since 10.06.2025 i.e. for the last more than six months; the petitioner is not required for any investigation purpose as the investigation of the present case has already been completed and trial of the case will take sufficient time to conclude. The petitioner is having clean and clear antecedents as he is not involved in any other case. As such, learned counsel prayed for grant of regular bail to the petitioner.

3. Learned State counsel has filed the status report dated 04.12.2025, which is taken on record. Learned State counsel, on instructions



from PSI Bhajan Lal, opposed the prayer made by the petitioner on the ground that co-accused is yet to be arrested in the present case.

4. Heard.

5. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case and the contention of learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 10.06.2025 i.e. for the last more than 06 months; investigation of the present case has already been completed and there is no material available on record which would suggest that the petitioner is involved in any criminal activity, trial will take sufficient time to conclude and no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner in custody for any further period, as concession of bail cannot be denied just as a measure of punishment as culpability of accused is to be decided after appreciating evidence adduced by both parties and it is a trite principle of criminal jurisprudence that bail is rule, jail is an exception, this Court deems it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

6. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

7. Nothing observed hereinabove shall be construed to be expression of an opinion by this Court on merits of the case. The learned Court below is directed to proceed with the matter on its own merits, lest it may prejudice the trial.

December 12, 2025
manisha

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

(i)	Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
(ii)	Whether reportable	Yes/No