



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

220

CRM-M-58124-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision: 06.03.2025

Ashok Kumar Sapru @ Ashok Kumar
.....Petitioner
Versus
State of Punjab
.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.
Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR J. (Oral)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023, seeking anticipatory bail in case FIR No.145 dated 08.11.2024 registered under Sections 126(2), 118(1), 118(2), 115(2), 351(2), 191(3), 190, 61(2), 303(2), 304(2) of BNS, 2023 at Police Station Kartarpur, District Jalandhar Rural.

2. On 21.11.2024, the following order was passed:-

“XX XX XX XX
The FIR in question was lodged at the instance of Ansh, wherein it is alleged that about a week back, Rakshit, his father Ashok Sapru (petitioner) and Bitti, Kaku’s father had confronted him and had threatened him to teach a lesson, as he had earlier been spared. It is further alleged that subsequently on 07.11.2024, when he was going on a motor-cycle to the market to get medicines, then 4 persons, who came from opposite side on one motor-cycle driven by Girish, hit against his motor-cycle as a result of which he fell down. The other persons were Gaurav, Vishal and one unknown man. It is alleged that the said persons were



carrying Khandas. The complainant alleged that all the 4 persons, who had inflicted injuries to him on 07.11.2024, had inflicted the same in conspiracy with Rakshit and others.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he has falsely been implicated in the present case and admittedly was never ever present at the spot. Learned counsel further submits that there is no evidence worth credence to show that the injuries in question caused by Girish and others had been caused at the instance and/or in conspiracy with the petitioner.

Notice of motion for 06.03.2025.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest, the petitioner be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and cooperate with the Investigating Officer and shall also abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C. ”

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Narinder Singh, at the very outset, informs the Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.
4. In view of the statement of learned State counsel, order dated 21.11.2024 is hereby made absolute. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS (erstwhile Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973).
5. The petition stands disposed of.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

06.03.2025

yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No