



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-57888-2024
Date of Decision:13.01.2025

Harman ...Petitioner

VS.

State of Punjab and Anr ...Respondent

Coram : Hon’ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat
Present: Mr. Vinod Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. I.P.S Sabharwal, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Nikhil Thamman, Advocate with
Mr. Nikhil Ghai, Advocate
for the complainant.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 482 of B.N.S.S with a prayer to grant anticipatory bail to him in case FIR No.99, dated 24.08.2024, under Section 420 of IPC, registered at Police Station Majitha, District Amritsar (Annexure P-1).
2. The following interim order was passed on 02.12.2024 and the same has been reproduced below:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely involved in the present case due to party faction in the village. He submits that the father of the petitioner had contested election for the post of Sarpanch against Ex-Sarpanch, Manohar Lal in the year 2013 and Manohar Lal had lost the election. Since then, Manohar Lal was looking for suitable occasion to falsely involve the petitioner and his family members.He further contends that the petitioner was having a valid license for running the Com

mon Service Centre and a Gram Suvidha Centre and had followed the process of law. He further contends that the petitioner had made a representation (Annexure P-3) to S.S.P Amritsar, Rural for holding fair investigation, but no action was taken on the same. Learned counsel further contends that the entire case is based on documentary evidence and the custody of the petitioner may not be required. Apart from that, the complainant along with co-villagers had furnished their affidavits, mentioning therein that their thumb impressions were taken on blank papers by Manohar Lal, Ex-Sarpanch and the petitioner has been falsely involved in the present case.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 02.12.2024 passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation.
4. Learned State counsel also submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no longer required for further investigation.
5. In view of the above statement made by learned counsel for the parties, the interim order dated 02.12.2024 is made absolute.The petitioner shall continue to join the investigation, as and when called by the Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 482 (2) of B.N.S.S/438(2) of Cr.P.C.

13.01.2025

hitesh

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)

JUDGE

: Yes/No

: Yes/No