



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

218

CRM-M-57834-2024
Decided on : 19.05.2025

Surjit Singh @ Surjeet Singh and another . . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab . . . Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Mr. Rakesh Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Jasdeep Singh, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Mohit Pilia, Advocate for
Mr. Kuldeep Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.2/complainant.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

Name of Petitioner (s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Surjit Singh @ Surjeet Singh and Harmesh Singh	89	27.09.2024	115(2), 117(2), 324(2), 304, 126(2), 3(5) of BNS, 2023	Jalalabad	Fazilka

2. Counsel for the parties submits that parties to the dispute are related as husband and wife (as petitioner No.1 and respondent No.2 are husband and wife, whereas, petitioner No.2 is father of petitioner No.1). However, the dispute between the parties has now been amicably settled, and a compromise quashing petition has already been instituted before this



Court, bearing CRM-M-26202-2025, titled as "*Surjit Singh @ Surjeet Singh and others v. State of Punjab and others*". In the said petition, parties have been directed to record their respective statements regarding the factum of compromise before the Trial Court/Illaq Magistrate. The matter is now fixed for 31.07.2025 for awaiting the report.

3. Counsel appearing for respondent No.2 – complainant, also confirms the aforesaid fact.

4. Even the learned State counsel affirms the aforesaid statement made by learned counsel for the petitioners before this Court today, and accordingly submits that, at this stage, custodial interrogation of the petitioners is not required for the purpose of investigation.

5. Heard.

6. Since the petitioners have resolved the dispute amicably and a compromise quashing petition has already been instituted by them before this Court for the said purpose, present petition is **allowed** and the ad-interim order dated 28.11.2024, is hereby made absolute.

However, petitioners shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the terms and conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

7. **Accordingly, petition stands disposed of.**

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

May 19, 2025

J.Ram

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No