



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

COCp-3829-2023(O&M)
Date of decision: 24.09.2025

Madan Tayal
... Petitioner
Versus
Satyawar Singh Maan
... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. SPS Multani, Advocate, for
Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.
Mr. Arvind Seth, Advocate,
for the respondent.

VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J. (ORAL)

At the outset, learned counsel for petitioner submits that the petitioner does not wish to press the instant contempt petition, at this stage. He further submits that the petitioner shall, if so advised, avail all such other remedies as shall be admissible in law.

- 2. In view of the statement given by learned counsel for the petitioner, the present contempt petition is disposed of as having not been pressed.
- 3. Rule is discharged.
- 4. Pending application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

(Vikram Aggarwal)
Judge

September 24, 2025
Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No