



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.106

**TA-1482-2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 27.11.2025**

PREETI @ PREETI RANI

....Applicant

Versus

SATPAL

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ARCHANA PURI

Present:- Mr. L.K. Gollen, Advocate
for the applicant.

Mr. Mohit Kumar, Advocate
for the respondent
(through video conferencing).

ARCHANA PURI, J. (Oral)

CM-20537-CII-2024

Keeping in view the averments made in the application, same is
allowed.

Main case

Perusal of the paperbook reveals that the respondent has not
filed reply. Rather, he had not been making appearance for the last several
dates. However, counsel for the respondent, today submits that he does not
want to file reply to the transfer application, though, he contests the same.

Counsel for the parties heard.

The applicant-wife has filed the present application for seeking
transfer of the petition under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act i.e.

HMA/513/2024, titled '*Satpal Vs. Preeti Rani*', filed by the respondent-



TA-1482-2024 (O&M)

husband, pending in the Family Court (Camp Court) Narwana, District Jind and she seeks transfer of the same to the Court of competent jurisdiction at Rewari.

It is submitted by the counsel for the applicant that the marriage between the parties to the lis, had taken place on 17.02.2018. One son was born from the said wedlock on 11.10.2019. On account of the matrimonial dispute, the parties are residing separate. Earlier, the applicant along with her son, was residing at Rewari. The child was studying in Raj International School, Konsiwas Road, Rewari. However, on 23.07.2024, the respondent had forcibly taken away the child, from the custody of the applicant, as a result whereof, she had filed the guardianship petition i.e. GW/61/2024, which is pending in the Courts at Rewari. Even, the applicant, who has no source of earning, has filed the petition under Section 125 Cr.P.C. i.e. MNT-125/237/2024, which is also pending in the Courts at Rewari and the respondent is making appearance in the same. The distance between the two places is stated to be about 200 kms.

On the other hand, counsel for the respondent submits that it shall be difficult for the respondent also, to pursue the divorce petition, if so transferred.

In view of the submissions aforesaid, it is pertinent to mention that the child was earlier in the custody of the applicant, which fact is not disputed, as no reply has been filed. The child is claimed to have been taken away forcibly, by the respondent.

Taking into consideration the aforesaid fact situation, the fact of two cases, arising from the matrimonial dispute, already pending in the



Courts at Rewari, which are being pursued by the respondent and also considering the fact of the applicant not having any source of earning, the transfer application is allowed and the petition under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act i.e. HMA/513/2024, titled ‘*Satpal Vs. Preeti Rani*’, filed by the respondent-husband, stands transferred from the Family Court (Camp Court) Narwana, District Jind, to the Court of competent jurisdiction at Rewari. The requisite record of the aforesaid case be sent by the Family Court (Camp Court) Narwana, to the District and Sessions Judge, Rewari.

Learned District and Sessions Judge, Rewari, shall assign the said petition to the Family Court, Rewari. Even, the parties are directed to appear before the Family Court, Rewari, within a period of one month from today onwards.

27.11.2025
Himanshu Vats

(ARCHANA PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : Yes/No