



111

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-29782-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 09.10.2025

Ramandeep

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. R.S. Dhull, Advocate,
Mr. Navnit Sharma, Advocate,
Ms. Sakshi Dhull, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun Kumar Singla, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Prince Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J.

1. Present civil writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing respondent No.2 to allow the petitioner for joining on the post of Assistant Lineman, category No.195, in view of his selection and entitlement and also to grant all the notional benefits including salary, increments as well as all other benefits from the date of joining of other similarly situated



counterparts.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner, *inter alia*, contends that the petitioner was issued provisional appointment letter dated 08.02.2024 (Annexure P-6) and he was granted extension to join till 11.07.2024, as he was in the process of completing his B.Tech. The petitioner could not join due to imposition of Code of Conduct by the Election Commission of India as well as State of Haryana. Meanwhile, the Division Bench of this Court had set aside the socio-economic criteria and directed the respondents to recast a fresh merit list. The petitioner is entitled to the appointment having scored more marks than the last selected candidate, who was appointed against the same merit list. The claim of the petitioner to the appointment is required to be considered as and when a fresh merit list is prepared by respondent No.3.

3. *Per contra*, learned counsel for the respondent-Corporation submits that although the selection list has been set aside by this Court vide judgment dated 04.08.2023 passed in **CWP-16536-2023** titled as **Rahul Vs. State of Haryana and another**, which has been upheld by the Division Bench of this Court in **LPA-1037-2023**, wherein directions were issued to recast the merit, after excluding the marks granted under the socio-economic criteria. It is further submitted that in case the fresh selection process is initiated, the petitioner would be required to apply afresh and if the fresh merit list is prepared in terms of the directions issued by the Division Bench of this Court, he would be considered by respondent No.3, in case his name is recommended



in the fresh merit list.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record of the case with their able assistance.

5. This Court in the judgment dated 28.05.2025 passed in **CWP-15861-2025** titled as **Satish Kumar Vs. State of Haryana and others** and **Sunil Dutt Vs. Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited and others, CWP-6021-2024**, decided on 23.09.2025, has considered a similar issue and both these petitions were disposed of with a direction to consider the claim of the petitioner(s) therein.

6. In view of the above, instant petition is disposed of and the respondents are directed to consider and decide claim of the petitioner in terms of the judgments rendered by this Court in **Satish Kumar's** case (*supra*) and **Sunil Dutt's** case (*supra*).

7. All the pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

[HARPREET SINGH BRAR]
JUDGE

09.10.2025
monika/vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No