



214

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-56133-2025
Date of Decision: 09.12.2025**

MANISH KUMAR

..... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR

Present : Mr. Shailender Singh, Advocate with
Ms. Priya Bhati, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramesh Kumar Ambavta, DAG, Haryana
assisted by S.I. Jasbir.

YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in this petition under Section 482 of Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.75 dated 14.06.2025, registered under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 190, 109(1), 351(2) of BNS, 2023 and Sections 25, 54, 59 of Arms Act (Sections 61(2)(A) and 117(2), 249 of BNS added later on) at Police Station Tigaon, Faridabad.

2. On 17.10.2025, the following order was passed:-

“Prayer in this petition under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.75 dated 14.06.2025, registered under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 190, 109(1), 351(2) of BNS, 2023 and Sections 25, 54, 59 of Arms Act (Sections 61(2)(A) and 117(2), 249 of BNS added later on) at Police Station Tigaon,



Faridabad.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel and have gone through the material placed on file.

As per the allegations in the FIR, complainant Ombir was assaulted by Hrithik, Saurav, Ankit and Prince. Hrithik fired a shot at him, which passed by his left ear. Saurav fired a shot, which hit on his right thigh and other accused caused injuries to him with fist and kick blows. During investigation, accused Hrithik was arrested and a pistol was recovered from him. Accused Prince, after his arrest, was also found in possession of a pistol. It was also revealed that co-accused Ankit had conspired with his brother co-accused Saurav to commit the murder of the Ombir and had supplied the pistol and three cartridges to co-accused Prince. It has also been disclosed that said pistol was supplied to Ankit by Manish Kumar (petitioner), which has been used in commission of crime. Apprehending arrest, petitioner applied for anticipatory bail which has been rejected by the trial Court.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that petitioner was not amongst the assailants, who fired at the victim. He has been nominated as an accused by co-accused Ankit and Saurav as the supplier of weapon. Nothing is to be recovered from his possession. Learned counsel contended that petitioner is ready to join the investigation and to abide by the conditions that may be imposed by the Court and benefit of anticipatory bail be extended in her favour.

On the other hand, learned State counsel has opposed the bail and argued that petitioner has committed a heinous offence and In view of the gravity of offence, he does not deserve the concession of anticipatory bail.

*Adjourned to **09.12.2025**.*

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and in the event of his arrest, he shall be admitted to ad-interim bail on furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction



of the Arresting/Investigating Officer, subject to the following conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of the BNSS [erstwhile Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.]:-

- i) that the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;*
- ii) that the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;*
- iii) that the petitioner shall not leave India without the prior permission of the Court;*
- iv) such other condition as may be imposed under sub-section (3) of Section 480, as if the bail were granted under that section.”*

3. Today, on instructions from S.I. Jasbir, learned State counsel has informed this Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation, in compliance of the order dated 17.10.2025 and is no longer required for further investigation.

4. In view of the aforesaid, the order dated 17.10.2025, whereby the petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail, is hereby made absolute. However, he shall continue to join investigation, if and so required by the Investigating Officer.

5. Disposed of.

6. Pending misc application (s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR)
JUDGE

09.12.2025
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No