



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

217

**CRM-M No.55694 of 2025
Date of decision : 6.11.2025**

Navjot Singh**Petitioner**

Versus

State of Punjab**Respondent**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Deepinder Singh Virk, Advocate, for the petitioner

Mr. Jaypreet Singh, DAG, Punjab

Mr. Udayveer Singh, Advocate, for the complainant

SUMEET GOEL, J. (ORAL)

1. On 30.9.2025, the following order was passed:

'Apprehending his arrest in FIR No.241 dated 16.09.2024 registered for offences punishable under Sections 406, 420, 120-B IPC at Police Station Tripuri, District Patiala; the petitioner has preferred this petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking pre-arrest bail.

Counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that the role ascribed to the petitioner is only of identification qua sale deed, the petitioner was neither the beneficiary of the transaction in question nor any amount has come to his account & the petitioner is willing to join investigation and cooperate therein.

Notice of motion.

On the strength of advance notice; Mr. Gurpartap S. Bhullar, AAG, Punjab has entered appearance on behalf of the respondent-State of Punjab.

At this stage; Mr. SPS Sidhu, Advocate has entered appearance on behalf of the complainant and filed his Vakalatnama.



Adjourned to 06.11.2025.

The petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating Officer on 09.10.2025 at 11:00 A.M. in concerned Police Station and join investigation. In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal/surety bond(s) to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. As and when further called by Investigating Officer, the petitioner shall join the investigation. He shall abide by the condition(s) enumerated under Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.'

2. Learned State counsel (on instructions) submits that pursuant to the order dated 30.9.2025, the petitioner has joined investigation and is not required for further custodial interrogation.
3. Learned counsel for the complainant has vehemently opposed the anticipatory bail of the petitioner by arguing that there are direct and serious allegations against the petitioner and in case he has extended the concession of anticipatory bail, there is all the likelihood that he may flee from the hands of justice and may influence the prosecution witnesses/evidence.
4. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and upon perusal of the record, especially keeping in view the factum that the petitioner has joined investigation and his custodial interrogation is not sought by the State; this Court is inclined to confirm the order dated 30.9.2025.
5. In view of the above, the instant petition is allowed. The interim order dated 30.9.2025, passed by this Court is hereby confirmed, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS.
6. This order should not be treated as "blanket" order. It will not



be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

7. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS or upon showing any other sufficient cause.

8. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

6.11.2025
Ashwani

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No