



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

115

CRM-M-58130-2024

Date of Decision: 18.03.2025

Raman Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

Kamal Kishore Aggarwal

....Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. S.S. Behl, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

Seeking directions to take legal action against respondent No.1 for committing perjury in Arbitration Application No.264 of 2023 titled as Kamal Kishore Aggarwal vs. Rajesh Kumar Aggarwal & ors.

2. At the outset, counsel for the petitioner submits that cause of action would actually arise after the disposal of the arbitration application, as such he wants to withdraw the present petition with clarification that time for which the present petition was pending may not be counted for the purpose of limitation, if he files a fresh petition.

3. Given above, present petition is disposed of as withdrawn with clarification that in case the petitioner files a fresh petition after decision of arbitration application, the time for which the present petition was pending shall not be counted for the purpose of limitation. It is further clarified that filing and disposal of the present petition shall not come in petitioner's way if petitioner files a fresh petition. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

18.03.2025
anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable?

Yes
No