



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No.6736 of 2024 (O&M)

Date of Order:08.05.2025

Gunjan Batra

.Petitioner

Versus

Sahil Baluja

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Ms. Pooja Aggarwal, Advocate
Mr. G.S.Sullar, Advocate
Mr. Sunil Kumar, Advocate
Mr. Haneesh Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Jain, Advocate
Ms. Nitya Gupta, Advocate
for the respondents

ANIL KSHETARPAL, JUDGE (Oral)

1. The Family Court has granted visitation rights to the father and the grand parents of 6½ years old son, namely, Aveer. Through this revision petition, the petitioner, the mother of the child, prays for setting aside the order dated 20.08.2024, passed while dismissing the contempt petition.

2. On 25.08.2022, the Family Court granted visitation rights to the father while permitting the child to speak to his grand parents over video call during the aforesaid period.

3. Subsequently, on 18.05.2024, the Family Court passed the following order:-

“Today case is fixed for compromise. The Grand parents as well as petitioner appeared in this case. The grand



parents expressed their desire for having the conversation with the child. The court directed the parents of the respondent to arrange the video call with the grand parents of the minor child. Grand parents of the minor child (Dada and Dadi Ji) interacted with the minor child through WhatsApp video call in the court itself for about 5-10 minutes in the presence of the Presiding Officer and their respective counsels. During interactions, it transpires that dispute is not huge between the parties, there are chances of resolving the matter amicably. Till the both the parties are directed to comply the order dated 25.8.2022 regarding visitation with the minor child. Respondent is also directed to allow the grand parents of the minor child in her presence as per schedule mentioned in order dated 25.8.2022. The case is adjourned for compromise as there are the chances of resolving the matter. Both the parties are directed to arrange one person from both the sides on their behalf for having conversation regarding amicable settling the dispute. To come up on 3.9.2024 for out come of the settlement.”

4. It appears that there was difficulty in implementation of the aforesaid order which resulted in initiation of contempt proceedings. The court dismissed the same while clarifying the order.

5. This Bench has heard the learned counsel representing the parties at length and with their able assistance perused the paper book and interacted with the mother, the petitioner.

6. In the considered opinion of the Court, at this stage, no modification in the arrangement already made is required to be made, however, if in future, there is a change in circumstances, the parties will have the liberty to file fresh applications.



7. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts and discussion, no ground to interfere is made out.
8. Dismissed.
9. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

May 08, 2025
nt

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No