

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

2025:PHHC:174628



CRM-M-55666 of 2025(O&M)
Reserved on: 12.12.2025
Pronounced on:15.12.2025
Uploaded on:15.12.2025.

Rahul

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL

Present: Mr. Sunil Goswami, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kshitij Bharti, AAG Haryana.

SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL, JUDGE

Petitioner seeks regular bail in case vide FIR No. 104 dated 20.06.2024, under Sections 354-D, 376(2)(n) and 506 of Indian Penal Code, District Women Police Station Jind, District, Jind, Haryana. This is the first petition for regular bail.

2. Prosecutrix, a married woman, alleged that she became acquainted with Rahul son of Sanjay, her neighbour. Rahul taking illegal advantage made physical relations with her. After taking her photographs, he exploited her physically by black-mailing her. For the last one year, she had been keeping distance from him but he dared to post her obscene photograph on facebook, with the intention to defame her. When she protested, he threatened to kill her and her son. Rahul had been harassing her and her family for long.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that prosecutrix was a 36 years old married, mature lady, while petitioner was 26 years of age. They were neighbours and had developed intimate relationship. The FIR was lodged after one year. Petitioner was in judicial custody for the last one year and five months. Despite numerous adjournments granted, prosecutrix was not putting in appearance intentionally. In the circumstances, the petitioner deserves to be enlarged on regular bail.

4. Learned State counsel has opposed the prayer for bail, submitting that no prosecution witnesses was examined in the case and prosecutrix was yet to be examined. Report of FSL was awaited and in view of serious nature of allegations, the petition deserves to be dismissed.

5. The petitioner is in judicial custody in the case for the last one year and five months. Relationship of petitioner with the prosecutrix, a married lady, as per FIR, was initially consensual. Case is pending for prosecution evidence since long but not a single witness has been examined till date. Report as to whether petitioner uploaded the picture of the prosecutrix on facebook is yet to be received. Petitioner is not stated to be involved in any other case. There is no reason to apprehend that he would evade the process of law. In the circumstances of the case but without commenting on the merits, petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail subject to his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

6. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, stand disposed of.

15.12.2025.

(SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL)
JUDGE

reema

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No