

2025:PHHC:135071



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

106

CRM-M No.62262 of 2023
Date of Decision: 25.09.2025

Ravi Garg ... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another ... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present: Mr. Lakhwinder S. Sidhu, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Apoorv Garg, Addl. AG, Haryana,
for the respondent-State.

Mr. Sagar Aggarwal, Advocate,
for the complainant.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner seeking regular bail in the FIR mentioned below:-

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
0495	26.07.2022	Thanesar Sadar, District Kurukshetra	406 and 420 of IPC

2. Brief facts relevant for the purpose of disposal of this petition are that the aforementioned FIR has been registered on the basis of a complaint lodged by the complainant Santosh Kumar Saini alleging

2025:PHHC:135071



that the complainant and his wife had been duped of an amount of Rs.75,66,409/- by the petitioner who had allured them to part with the same on the premise of getting one residential flat and one shopping commercial booth at Kharar allotted in their names in a project which was floated by the petitioner. The petitioner, however, did not handover possession of any flat or booth to the victims. On insistence, he had assured to give some alternative flat but subsequently refused to even do that. After registration of FIR, investigation proceedings were initiated. The petitioner was arrested on 19.09.2023. Vide order dated 09.05.2024 passed by this Court, he was extended benefit of interim bail.

3. The matter had been sent to Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court when both the parties expressed desire for that by showing that there was possibility of amicable settlement of the dispute between them.

4. The matter had been settled before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre and a report had been received to this effect before this Court on 08.05.2025 along with the settlement agreement.

5. Today, learned counsel for the complainant has submitted that all the terms as stipulated in the settlement have been complied with by the petitioner and that the complainant has no objection in allowing the petition.

6. As mentioned above, the petitioner has been extended benefit of interim bail vide order dated 09.05.2024. He has not misused the concession of the same. The subject offences are triable by Magistrate. Taking into consideration the above discussed facts, this Court is of the opinion that the



petition deserves to be allowed. Accordingly, the same is allowed and the order dated 09.05.2024 granting interim bail to the petitioner is hereby confirmed.

7. It is, however, clarified that observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

25.09.2025
manju

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No