



CR-182-2020 (O&M)

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(121)

CR-182-2020 (O&M)

Date of decision: - 28.08.2025

Meetu Bajaj and others**....Petitioners****Versus****Ankit Chugh and others****.....Respondents****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL**

Present:- Mr. Deepak Suri, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Shruti Mandhotra, Advocate
for Mr. Kunal Dawar, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

Service of respondent No.2 and 3 dispensed with
vide order dated 28.01.2020.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Present civil revision has been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for setting aside the order dated 06.09.2019 passed by the Civil Judge (Junior Division), Gurugram.

2. Learned counsel for respondent No.1 has pointed out that in the present case, the matter is now listed for final arguments for 20.09.2025 and the entire trial has concluded. It is further pointed out that even in the impugned order, the trial Court had observed that the plaintiff had not raised any plea of fraud on the part of defendants Nos.2, 4 to 6

**CR-182-2020 (O&M)****-2-**

and had further observed that the presence of the applicants/defendants No.2, 4 to 6/petitioners was required in order to ascertain the fact as to whether the amount is recoverable from defendant No.1.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that in view of the observations made in the impugned order and also in view of the fact that the case is now fixed for final arguments, the present revision petition be disposed of with liberty to the petitioners to raise arguments, including the argument that no decree can be passed against them, as have been raised in the present revision petition, in accordance with law, at the time of final arguments before the trial Court.

4. In view of the above, the present revision petition is disposed of, with the aforesaid liberty.

August 28, 2025
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No