

2025:PHHC:157242



210

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-56399-2025

Date of decision: November 13, 2025

Prince Kang @ Jashandeep Singh

....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL**Present:** Mr. Naveen Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Jaypreet Singh, DAG Punjab.

None for respondent No.2.

SUMEET GOEL, J. (ORAL)

Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') for grant of concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.221 dated 29.11.2020, under Sections 307, 324, 506, 341, 148 & 149 of the IPC, 1860, registered at Police Station City Sarabha Nagar.

2. On 09.10.2025, the following order was passed:

“Apprehending his arrest in FIR No. 221 dated 29.11.2020 registered for offences punishable under Sections 307/324/506/341/148/149 IPC at Police Station City Sarabha Nagar, District Ludhiana; the petitioner has preferred this petition under Section 482 BNSS seeking pre-arrest bail.

Inter alia contends that petitioner has been falsely implicated in FIR in question, the misconception at the end of the FIR-complainant stands resolved, the complainant has also made a statement to this effect before the concerned Court on 06.06.2025, no effective recovery is to be

made from the petitioner & the petitioner is willing to join the investigation and cooperate therein.

Mr. I.S. Dhaliwal for Mr. Kamal Narula, Advocate has puts in appearance on behalf of respondent No.2 and filed his memo of appearance today in the Court, which is taken on record.

Learned counsel appearing for the complainant has rectified the aspect of matter having been resolved between the parties.

Adjourned to 13.11.2025.

The petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating Officer on 15.10.2025 at 11:00 A.M. in concerned Police Station and join investigation. In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal/surety bond(s) to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. As and when further called by Investigating Officer, the petitioner shall join the investigation. He shall abide by the condition(s) enumerated under Section 482(2) BNSS.”

3. Learned State counsel (on instructions) has stated that pursuant to the order dated 09.10.2025, the petitioner has indeed joined investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

4. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and upon perusal of the record; especially in view of the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and his custodial interrogation is not being sought by the State, the interim order dated 09.10.2025 passed by this Court is made absolute, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

5. Petition stands allowed, accordingly.

6. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

7. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 or upon showing any other sufficient cause.
8. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.
9. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

November 13, 2025

mahavir

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No