



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-29550-2025

Date of Decision:30.09.2025

M/s Prabhjot Kaur

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and Anr.

...Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. S. S Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India with a prayer to issue a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing respondents to grant the petitioner the grade pay of Rs.4600/- as was originally sanctioned/awarded and to quash/set aside the arbitrary action of reducing the same to Rs.3200/-. A further prayer has been made to grant the scale of Rs.10300-34800+4600 (Level-14) G.P to the petitioner from their initial appointment as staff nurse and all arrear be released with market rate interest.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the case of the petitioner is squarely covered by the ratio of the law laid down by this Court in CWP-15896-2023 (O&M), titled as **"Saurabh Sharma and others Vs. State of Punjab and Anr."** dated 13.09.2024.

3. Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner has already submitted a representation dated 09.09.2025 (Annexure P-6) to the official respondent and he shall be satisfied in case, appropriate directions are issued to the respondent to decide the representation dated 09.09.2025 (Annexure P-6) in

a time bound manner.

4. Notice of motion.
5. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Charanpreet Singh, DAG, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondent and has no serious objection to the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, at this stage.
6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record carefully.
7. At this stage, it would be appropriate to direct the respondent No.1 to decide the representation dated 09.09.2025 (Annexure P-6) in view of the law laid down by this Court in CWP-15896-2023, titled as “Saurabh Sharma and others Vs. State of Punjab and Anr.” dated 13.09.2024 within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.
8. Needless to observe that the respondent No.1 shall pass a speaking and well reasoned order in the light of the above referred judgment as well as relevant rules/instructions and in case, petitioner is found entitled to any consequential benefits, the same shall also be released to her, without any further delay, along with reasonable rate of interest.
9. Disposed of.

30.09.2025
hitesh

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No