



201

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-55568-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 04.11.2025

SUNIL KUMAR AND ANOTHER

...PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL

Present: Mr. Munish Behl, Advocate
for petitioners.

Ms. Kanica Sachdeva, DAG, Haryana.

SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL J.

1. Petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in FIR No.290 dated 29.04.2025 under Sections 115(2), 190, 191(3) and 74 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, Police Station Palla, District Faridabad. This is their first petition for anticipatory bail.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that there was previous rivalry between the petitioners and the complainant. On a previous occasion, co-accused Satish made a complaint against complainant-Jasvir, his neighbour, regarding trespass by Jasvir in his plot, which was adjacent to the plot of Jasvir. He further submits that disputed plot was later on sold by Satish to petitioner No.2, Rahul on 03.02.2025 and possession was also delivered. It has been argued that the only intention of the complainant was to grab the plot, which was sold to petitioner No.2, Rahul. Further, that no serious injury was inflicted to any member of the complainant party, who were not even medico legally examined. With regard to the offence under

Section 74 BNS also, there was no specific attribution. He further submits that co-accused Satish, against whom, similar allegations were levelled and had already been allowed anticipatory bail vide order dated 25.09.2025. He further submits that pursuant to interim orders of the Court dated 01.10.2025, petitioners had joined investigation.

3. Vide order dated 01.10.2025 passed by this Court, the petitioners were granted interim anticipatory bail and were directed to join investigation.

4. Learned State counsel has filed status report by way of affidavit dated 31.10.2025, which is taken on record. On instructions from Investigating Officer, she has submitted that petitioners have joined investigation on 07.10.2025 and are no longer required for custodial interrogation.

5. Considering all relevant facts and circumstances, but without expressing any opinion on merits of the case, the petition is allowed and order dated 01.10.2025, granting interim bail to petitioners, is made absolute, subject to the conditions laid down in Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023.

6. Pending miscellaneous applications, if any, stand disposed of.

04.11.2025

Sumit Singla

(SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

:

Yes/No

Whether reportable

:

Yes/No