

2025:PHHC:086352



224

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-57719-2024

Date of Decision: 16.07.2025

Vishal

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Vishwajeet Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Tanuj Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (ORAL)

1. Petitioner has approached this Court by way of present petition praying for granting regular bail in case FIR No.564 dated 18.07.2023 under Sections 148/149/323/325/341/379-B/506 of IPC, 1860, registered at Police Station City Yamuna Nagar, District Yamuna Nagar.

2. Succinctly the facts of the case are FIR in the present case was got registered on the statement of complainant, namely, Umit Gupta. It was alleged that on 16.07.2023, at about 9:30 P.M., he went on his motorcycle from his house and on the way, he was way laid by Pankaj Baba, Ankush Bindra, Vishal @ Shooter (petitioner), Ajay @ Kalu and Goldi etc. They were armed with Gandasis, broken pipes, etc. All of them attacked him and threaten him to compromise the dispute already going on between them. On giving the injuries, all of them escaped from the place of occurrence. The request was made to take the legal action against the accused. On

registration of the FIR, the investigation commenced. The petitioner was arrested on 16.03.2024. The petitioner approached the Court of learned Additional Sessions Judge, Yamuna Nagar praying for the grant of bail. However after hearing both the sides finding no merit in the same, the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Yamuna Nagar declined the bail petition filed by both the petitioner vide order dated 06.11.2024. Hence, being aggrieved, the petitioner is before this Court by way of filing the present petition praying for the grant of regular bail.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner, at the outset, prays for the grant of bail to the petitioner on the basis of parity with that of the co-accused, namely, Ajay @ Kalu and Nakveer. He has drawn the attention of this Court to the common order dated 15.07.2025 passed in **CRM-M-51479-2024** and **CRM-M-51696-2024**, whereby, co-accused Ajay @ Kalu and Nakveer have been granted regular bail by this Court. He has submitted that the case of the petitioner is at par with the said co-accused. He submits that the petitioner is in custody since 16.03.2024. He submits that the petitioner is involved in other 06 cases, although he is on bail in all those cases. He submits that on the basis of the parity, the petitioner deserves to be granted bail as the case of the petitioner is similar to that of the said co-accused, who have already been granted bail.

4. Learned State counsel has endorsed the factum of grant of bail to the co-accused of the petitioner as stated above and has not denied that the petitioner is at par with the co-accused, namely, Ajay @ Kalu and Nakveer. He has placed on record the custody certificate of the petitioner.

5. After hearing learned counsel for the parties and perusing the record, it is deciphered that the petitioner is behind bars since 16.03.2024

Co-accused, namely, Ajay @ Kalu and Nakveer are on bail and the case of the petitioner as stated is at par with them. As per custody certificate, the petitioner has suffered incarceration of 01 year 04 months as on 15.07.2025. It further reflects that the petitioner is involved in other 06 cases, although he is on bail in all these cases.

6. This Court would refrain itself from commenting anything on the merits of the case. Keeping in view the arguments raised by both the sides, this Court is of the opinion that learned counsel for the petitioner succeeds in making out a case for grant of regular bail to the petitioner on the basis of parity. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

7. Nothing said herein shall be treated as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

16.07.2025

Parveen Kumar

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether Reportable :	Yes/No