



CRA-S-3082-2025 (O&M) 1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

207

CRA-S-3082-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision:10.12.2025

Rajpal

....Appellant

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. Deep Singh Saini, Advocate, for the appellant.

Mr. B. S. Saroha, DAG, Haryana

Mr. Rishi Pal Chaudhary, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

1. On 17.10.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel *inter alia* submits that the appellant is doing labour work of sowing and harvesting the crop, for which an amount of Rs.1.02 lakh was due to him from the complainant, which was settled at Rs.50,000/- in the *Panchayati* compromise after the complaint was registered against him on 10.04.2024, Annexure P-4, however, he did not want to pay the same, whereafter he submitted an application dated 27.05.2025, Annexure P-7 wherein the allegations have been made under the SC/ST Act. Reference is made to the police report dated 24.09.2025, Annexure P-2, wherein two witnesses namely Joga Singh and Ram Kumar had stated that such castiest words had not been uttered in their presence, following which Sections 303 BNS and 3(1) (f) of SC/ST Act have been deleted. Further that,



CRA-S-3082-2025 (O&M)

2

no offence under Section 3(1) (u) of the said Act is made out in view of the judgment in **Shajan Skaria vs. State of Kerala and another** 2024 AIR Supreme Court 4557. The appellant is not involved in any other case. He is ready and willing to join the investigation as and when required by the investigating agency and will cooperate.

Adjourned to 10.12.2025.

Meanwhile, the appellant is directed to join the investigation on or before 30.10.2025. In the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance of conditions as enshrined under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

However, it is clarified that if the appellant does not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.”

2. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the aforementioned order, the appellant has not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitione appellant, he shall make himself available without demur.

3. Learned State counsel on instructions submits that the appellant has joined the investigation and cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the appellant is not required for further custodial interrogation.



CRA-S-3082-2025 (O&M) 3

4. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, appeal filed by the appellant is allowed and the order dated 17.10.2025 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. (now 482(2) of BNSS, 2023)

5. However, it is made clear that if the appellant fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

December 10, 2025
dinesh

Whether speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No