

**CRM-M-55771-2025 (O&M) 1****IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

214

CRM-M-55771-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 20.11.2025

Jasbir Singh and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present: Ms. Divya Gulati, Advocate, for the petitioners.
Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, DAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

1. On 01.10.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel submits that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in the case especially in wake of the fact that they could not be nabbed despite there was secret information received with regard to illegal mining and the police party was headed by Sub Inspector, which is not believable. As a matter of fact, the trucks were merely parked at the parking space and later on shown to be allegedly at the spot along with sand, which has been done merely as they were not supporting the ruling party in the election of Sarpanch. They are ready and willing to join the investigation as and when required by the investigating agency and will cooperate.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. MS Bajwa, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

Meanwhile, the petitioners are directed to join the investigation on or before 09.10.2025. In the event of their



CRM-M-55771-2025 (O&M) 2

arrest, they shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance of conditions as enshrined under Section 482(2) BNSS.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioners do not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.

Adjourned to 20.11.2025.”

2. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the aforementioned order, the petitioners have not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioners to appear, they shall make themselves available without demur.

3. Learned State counsel on instructions affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioners and cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioners are not required for further custodial interrogation.

4. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioners is allowed and the order dated 01.10.2025 granting interim bail to them, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. (now 482(2) of BNSS, 2023)

5. However, it is made clear that if the petitioners fail to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State



CRM-M-55771-2025 (O&M) 3

would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to them.

**(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

November 20, 2025

dinesh

Whether speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No