



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

210

COCP-3773-2023 (O&M)

Date of Decision: August 27th, 2025

Harjit Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

Amandeep Bansal and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA

Present: Mr. Vikas Prakash, Advocate for
Mr. G.S. Virk, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Yuvraj Dhananjaya, Advocate
Mr. Ratik Chatrath Kapur, Advocate
for the respondents.

SUDEEPTI SHARMA J. (Oral)

1. The present contempt petition has been filed for deliberate and intentional disobedience of order dated 05.12.2017 passed by this Court in CWP-27003-2017.

2. The relevant portion of order dated 05.12.2017 passed by this Court in CWP-27003-2017, is reproduced as under:-

“4. After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner, perusing the present petition and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, we dispose of the present petition by directing respondent No.2 to take a decision on the legal notice dated 13.7.2017 (Annexure P-4), in accordance with law by passing a speaking order and after affording an opportunity of hearing to the



petitioner within a period of two months from the date of receipt of the certified copy of the order. It is further that in case the petitioner is found entitled to the payment of interest, the same be released to him within next month, in accordance with law.”

3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in compliance of order dated 05.12.2017, a compliance affidavit of Khushdil Singh Sandhu, Estate Office (Housing), GMADA, PUDA Bhawan, Sector-62, S.A.S. Nagar dated 22.08.2024 has been filed, alongwith Annexure R-1.

4. The relevant portion of the compliance affidavit of Khushdil Singh Sandhu, Estate Office (Housing), GMADA, PUDA Bhawan, Sector-62, S.A.S. Nagar, filed on 22.08.2024 is reproduced as under:-

“That it is further submitted that in compliance of the aforementioned directions of this Hon'ble Court, vide Memo No. 45026 dated 22.03.2024 the deponent had called the Petitioner for hearing on 26.03.2024, when the Petitioner had appeared and requested to decide his legal notice as per directions of this Hon'ble Court. Accordingly, the claim of the petitioner was examined and considered by the deponent on the basis of official records and his claim has been rejected by the deponent by passing a detailed order on 09.07.2024. Therefore, directions passed by this Hon'ble Court stand duly complied with. A copy of order dated 09.07.2024 is annexed herewith as Annexure R-1/1”

5. As per compliance, a speaking order dated 09.07.2024 was passed. This Court directed the respondents to reconsider the issue and pass a well-reasoned speaking order in terms of decision dated 05.12.2017 passed by this Court in CWP-27003-2017.



6. Learned counsel for the respondents further contends that in compliance of order dated 30.08.2024, passed by this Court in the present petition, speaking order dated 04.09.2024 has been passed. Copy of the same has been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner and also placed on record.

7. A perusal of speaking order dated 04.09.2024, shows that order dated 05.12.2017 passed by this Court in CWP-27003-2017, has been complied with. Learned counsel for the petitioner does not dispute the same.

8. In view of the above, the contempt is purged and rule is discharged.

9. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)
JUDGE

August 28th, 2025

Sahil

Whether speaking/non-speaking : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No