



245 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-57296-2024
Date of Decision: 07.01.2025

BHAGAT SINGH ... PETITIONER
VS.
STATE OF UT CHD. AND ANR .. RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. G.S.Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, P.P, U.T.Chandigarh with
Mr. Shubham Mangla, Advocate.

Mr. Vishal Singh, Advocate
for the victim.

ANOOP CHITKARA , J.(ORAL)

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
148	18.09.2024	Sector-39, U.T.Chandigarh	420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC

The petitioner incarcerated in the FIR captioned above had come up before this Court under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, [BNSS], seeking regular bail.

2. Counsel for the complainant opposes the petition on the ground that criminal antecedents of the petitioner have been concealed.
3. However, petitioner's counsel submits that inadvertently it was a *bona fide* mistake that criminal antecedents were not mentioned. He further submits that he wants to withdraw the present petition with liberty to file fresh one with better particulars and prayed for exemption from filing fresh power of attorney.
4. Given above, present petition stands disposed of as withdrawn with the liberty to file fresh and there is no need to file fresh power of attorney as power of attorney filed in this petition shall suffice. All pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

07.01.2025 (ANOOP CHITKARA)
smriti JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No