



CRWP-10605-2025

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

121

CRWP-10605-2025
Decided on:06.11.2025

Reenu and another

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Nitish Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

1. Present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, seeking issuance of directions to the official respondents to protect the lives and liberty of the petitioners from private respondents No. 6 to 8, and further, not to interfere in the matrimonial life of the petitioners at the instance of respondents No. 6 to 8.

2. On 01.10.2025, the following order was passed:

“1. Present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, for seeking directions to the official respondents to protect the lives and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents No.6 to 8 and not to cause interference in the matrimonial life of the petitioners at the behest of respondents No.6 to 8.

*2. Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that both the petitioners had performed marriage on **19.12.2022** and*



CRWP-10605-2025

-2-

apprehending danger to their lives and liberty, a protection petition was filed by them in the year 2022 itself before this Court, which was disposed of by this Court vide order dated 22.12.2022, passed in CRWP-12051-2022 (O&M), titled as, “Reenu and another v. State of Haryana and others v. State of Haryana and others” (Annexure P-4). Thereupon, petitioners started living happily together, but once again private respondents No.6 to 8, who are the parents/family members of petitioner No.1 – Reenu, have started bothering and causing interference into the day-to-day life of the petitioners, compelling them to file instant protection petition before this Court.

3. *Notice of motion.*

4. *On asking of the Court, Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana, who is present in the Court, accepts notice for respondents No.1 to 5 – State and seeks time to get instructions and to file status report/reply, if any.*

Let requisite copies of the complete paper book be handed over to the learned State counsel, during the course of the day.

5. *Adjourned to 06.11.2025.*

Response, if any, be filed a week before the adjourned date of hearing with an advance copy to the counsel opposite.

To be shown in the urgent list.”

3. Learned State counsel has filed a status report, paragraphs

No. 2, 3, and 4 of which read as under:

“2. That in pursuance of order dated 01.10.2025 passed by this Hon'ble Court and as per application Annexure P-5 moved by petitioners before respondent no.2/ Superintendent of Police Kurukshetra, an inquiry was conducted by SI Mool Chand and during the inquiry on 17.10.2025 the inquiry



CRWP-10605-2025

-3-

officer visited the house of petitioners and recorded the statements of petitioner no.1, namely, Reenu and petitioner no.2, namely, Harneet Singh. In the statement petitioner no.1 stated that she had got married with petitioner no.2 on 19.12.2022, now they are living as husband and wife with their own will. There is no threat of life to them from private respondents no.6 to 8. They have no need of police protection now. The statements of petitioners are enclosed herewith as Annexure R-1 and Annexure R-2 for kind perusal of this Hon'ble Court.

3. That during the inquiry on 17.10.2025, he visited Village Khurana and inquired about the matter. During the inquiry statements of private respondents no.6 to 8 were recorded and they have stated that to petitioner no.1 now living with her husband Harneet Singh (petitioner no.2). They have no objection with the living status of Petitioner No.1, she can reside anywhere she wants. The report investigating officer and Station House Officer, Police Ladwa, District Kurukshetra are enclosed herewith as Annexure R-3 and Annexure R-4 for kind perusal of this Hon'ble Court. The statements of private respondents no.6 to 8 are enclosed herewith as Annexure R-5 to Annexure R-7 for kind perusal of this Hon'ble Court.

4. That during the inquiry it was found that a FIR no.254 dated 18.09.2025 was registered under Section 127 (6) BNS, Police Station Sadar Kathal. The investigating officer SI Mool Chand also contacted the Kaithal Police who has stated that statement of petitioner no.1, under Section 183 BNSS, 2023 was got recorded before learned IMIC Kaithal. In pursuance of statement of petitioner no.1 under Section 183 BNSS the said FIR was filed as the petitioner no.1 did not make any allegation against petitioner no.2.

**CRWP-10605-2025****-4-**

That in view of the statements of petitioners and statements of private respondents No. 6 to 8, no perception of threat were found to be petitioners from private respondents.

4. It is also pointed out that the relevant statements of the concerned parties have been recorded. Therefore, it can be safely concluded that, as of now, there is no threat perception in view of the stand taken by the respondent-State in the status report.

5. Apart from the aforementioned aspect, respondents No. 6 and 7 (parents of petitioner No.1) are present in Court and have explained that the father of petitioner No. 1 is suffering from cancer at its fourth stage. They, therefore, seek permission to meet their daughter-petitioner No. 1.

6. Though no direction can be issued to petitioner No. 1, as she is a major, LHC Rekha Rani, who is present in Court, is directed to inform the concerned Deputy Superintendent of Police (DySP) of the area to make necessary arrangements for a meeting between petitioner No. 1 and her parents, i.e., respondents No. 6 and 7, after a period of 15 days from today. However, such a meeting shall be subject to the satisfaction of the DySP, who shall ensure that no untoward incident occurs during or as a result of such meeting between the parents and petitioner No. 1, or, if so advised, with petitioner No. 2 as well.

7. In view of the statements of the petitioners already recorded by the respondent-State and appended with the status report, this Court finds that no surviving cause of action remains. Accordingly, no further

CRWP-10605-2025

-5-

directions are required to be issued in the matter, and present petition
stands disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

November 06, 2025

rashmi

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES/~~NO~~
Whether Reportable: ~~YES~~/NO