



219

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-55364-2025

Date of Decision: 01.12.2025

PARKASH KAUR

..... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR

Present : Mr. G.S. Dhillon, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gorav Kathuria, DAG Punjab
assisted by SI Jaspreet Singh.

YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in this petition under Section 482 of Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.0237, dated 24.08.2025, registered at Police Station Sahnewal, District Ludhiana (Annexure P-1), under Section 298 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

2. In compliance of order dated 29.09.2025 passed by this Court, status report by way of affidavit dated 08.10.2025 of Harjinder Singh, PPS, Assistant Commissioner of Police, (South), Police Commissioner filed in the Registry on behalf of the respondent-State is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at an appropriate place.



3. On 09.10.2025, the following order was passed:-

“CRM-40902-2025

The instant application has been filed for placing on record the Annexure P-4 (Medical records of treatment from 2004 onwards) as additional Annexure.

For the reasons stated in the application, the same is allowed, subject to all just exceptions. Annexure P-4 is ordered to be taken on record.

CRM-M-55364-2025

1. Prayer in this petition under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.0237, dated 24.08.2025, registered at Police Station Sahnewal, District Ludhiana (Annexure P-1), under Section 298 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

2. The present case has been registered on the basis of a written complaint made by Jaswant Singh Cheema, who is a member of Akali Dal Waris Punjab de alleging therein that on 21.08.2025 at about 7:00 a.m., petitioner-Parkash Kaur in the presence of her husband Harbhajan Singh, committed an act of sacrilege inside Gurdwara Sri Ravidas Sahib Ji, village Jugiana and disrobed herself in the sanctum of the Gurudwara in front of Sri Guru Granth Sahib Ji by removing her clothes and threw the same before Sri Guru Granth Sahib Ji, thereby intentionally and willfully outraging the religious feelings of the entire community.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that petitioner is suffering from mental illness for several years and is under treatment from various doctors since 2004 and the medical documents have been placed on record. Learned counsel further contends that petitioner did not have the intention to commit any such offence and in fact, she does not understand

the consequences of the act allegedly committed by her due to mental illness.

4. Learned counsel further contends that petitioner is



ready and willing to join the investigation and to abide by the conditions that may be imposed upon her. Nothing is to be recovered from her and benefit of anticipatory bail be extended in her favour.

5. Status report dated 08.10.2025 has been filed by respondent- State. The same is taken on record. Learned State counsel has opposed the prayer in question and argued that petitioner has committed a heinous offence and therefore, she does not deserve concession of anticipatory bail.

6. Adjourned to 01.12.2025.

7. Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and in the event of her arrest, she shall be admitted to ad-interim bail on furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer, subject to the following conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of the BNSS [erstwhile Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.]:-

i) that the petitioner shall make herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;

ii) that the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

iii) that the petitioner shall not leave India without the prior permission of the Court;

iv) such other condition as may be imposed under subsection (3) of Section 480, as if the bail were granted under that section.”

3. Today, on instructions from SI Jaspreet Singh, learned State counsel has informed this Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation, in compliance of the order dated 09.10.2025 and is no longer required for further investigation.

4. In view of the aforesaid, the order dated 09.10.2025, whereby the petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail, is hereby made absolute. However, he shall continue to join investigation, if and so



required by the Investigating Officer.

- 5. Disposed of.
- 6. Pending misc application (s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR)
JUDGE

01.12.2025
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No