



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

107

CWP-29382-2025

Date of Decision: 13.11.2025

ASHOK

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA**

Present:- Mr. Ram Pal Verma, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, Senior Deputy Advocate General,  
Haryana.

**TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (Oral)**

The petition has been filed, *inter alia*, seeking a writ of *certiorari* quashing the order dated 03.04.2025, Annexure P-8, whereby the petitioner's candidature for the post of Mechanic Electronics Instructor Practical, category thirty-two, has been cancelled by declaring him not eligible for the post.

2. The petitioner applied for the aforementioned post in response to advertisement 12/2019, dated 20.07.2019, which prescribed the following essential qualifications:

i) National Trade Certificate/National Apprenticeship Certificate in Electronics with Crafts Instructor Training Course (CITC) in relevant trade with five years practical/teaching experience including training period.

In case CITC course in relevant trade is not available/introduced in any NCVT affiliated institute then the candidate must possess National Trade Certificate/National Apprenticeship Certificate in Electronics passed with minimum 70% marks and NCVT approved POT course, with eight years practical/teaching experience including training period.

ii) Hindi/ Sanskrit upto Matric Standard or higher education.



The impugned order dated 03.04.2025, giving reasons for declaring the petitioner ineligible, reads as under:

Name of Sh. Ashok Kumar was recommended by Haryana Staff Selection Commission against Mechanic Electronics Instructors post cat. 32. His name is recommended under waiting list and his reservation category is OH. He was called for documents verification on 20.03.2025. On checking of his documents it came to notice that Sh. Ashok Kumar has neither mentioned the detail of NTC/NAC in the application form nor uploaded the copy of NTC/NAC passed certificate in the online form submitted to HSSC. The candidate has uploaded the details of CITS course passed from NTC/NAC were required to be filled in. Further, the details of CITS filled are also wrong and do not match with the uploaded copy of CITS certificate.

In view of the instructions at the Sr. No. 1.2(e) and (f) of the advertisement no.12/2019, the eligibility is to be considered only as per the qualification details mentioned in the application form and the documents uploaded in HSSC form. Therefore, Sh. Ashok s/o Sh. Satya Narayan is not eligible for the Mechanic Electronics Instructor Practical Post.

Therefore, candidature of Sh. Ashok Kumar s/o Sh. Satya Narayan stands cancelled against the post of Mechanic Electronics Instructor Practical cat. 32.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has submitted all documents at the time of verification/scrutiny of documents, establishing his eligibility. Therefore, there is no ground to cancel his candidature.

4. Learned State counsel has produced original record concerning the petitioner's selection, which shows he has failed to upload the National Trade Certificate (NTC), which is an essential qualification. And the



particulars of CITS certificate also do not match with the details given in the form.

5. In view of the facts aforementioned, it is apparent that the petitioner failed to furnish the necessary certificates of NTC as well as CITS to establish his eligibility for the post in question as per the laid down qualifications. The submission of certificates at the time of verification of documents was of no consequence, as valid certificates establishing the requisite qualifications were to be submitted before the last date for submission of application forms. And the petitioner concededly failed to do so. The purpose of calling the candidates for verification is to test authenticity of the documents uploaded/submitted by them. No new document can be accepted at that time unless specifically permitted, which is not the case here. Accordingly, no exception can be taken to the impugned order declaring the petitioner ineligible and cancelling his candidature on that account.

6. Dismissed.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)  
JUDGE

13.11.2025

Ad

*Whether speaking/reasoned*

*Yes/No*

*Whether reportable*

*Yes/No*