



**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

249

CRM-M-57089-2024(O&M)
Date of Decision: 02.07.2025

Tejpal Singh Gill

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab & anr.

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. Nikhil Chopra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rahul Jindal, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Rajat Verma, Advocate and
Mr. Shivam Sachdeva, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

CRM-24618-2025

Allowed as prayed for.

Receipt of payment of costs of Rs.20,000/-, in pursuant to the order dated 18.11.2024, as Annexure P-12 filed by the petitioner, is taken on record.

Main case

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for quashing of order dated 21.09.2023 (Annexure P-8) passed by learned JMIC, Ludhiana, whereby the petitioner has been declared as proclaimed offender and without following the due process of law on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-11).
2. On 18.11.2024, the following order was passed:-



“1. Counsel for the petitioner submits that initially the petitioner was regularly appearing before the trial Court. Thereafter, in Criminal Complaint Case No. COMI-37364-2013, the matter stood compromised, as such, the petitioner stopped appearing as the matter was compromised and he was also unwell. Co-accused of the petitioner had already filed a petition for quashing of the aforesaid criminal complaint, on the basis of a compromise in which the parties have already been directed to appear before the trial Court for recording of their statements, in terms of the order dated 05.09.2024 passed by this Bench in CRM-M-1320-2024 (Annexure P-4).

2. Notice of motion.

3. Having received advance copy of the petition, Mr. Davinder Bir Singh, Sr. D.A.G., Punjab , accepts notice on behalf of respondent No. 1-State.

4. Mr. Rajat Verma, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No. 2 and has filed his ‘Vakalatnama’ in Court today. The same is taken on record. He confirms about the factum of compromise arrived at between the parties.

*5. Adjourned to **19.03.2025**.*

6. In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to appear before the learned trial Court within a period of 15 days from today and in that event, he shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing adequate bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court, till the next date of hearing.

7. A cost of Rs.20,000/- shall be deposited by the petitioner with the District Legal Services Authority, Ludhiana.

8. Subject to the aforesaid compliance, the impugned order dated 21.09.2023 (Annexure P-8) be kept in abeyance, till the next date of hearing.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in pursuance of the said order, the petitioner has deposited the costs of Rs.20,000/- with the District Legal Services Authority, Ludhiana and has also surrendered before the trial Court and furnished bail bonds and surety bonds and the said fact has been recorded in the order dated 29.11.2024 passed by learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, LD-1, Ludhiana (Annexure P-12) and in this view of the matter, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.
4. The said fact has not been disputed by learned counsel for the respondents.
5. Disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

02.07.2025

monika

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

(NAMIT KUMAR)

JUDGE

: Yes/No

: Yes/No