



CRM-M-55127-2025

1

217 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-55127-2025

Date of Decision: 27.11.2025

SURINDER KUMAR @ WADDICHIRI

..... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR

Present : Mr. Harsh Thakur, Advocate for  
Ms. Deepti Rampal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gorav Kathuria, DAG Punjab  
assisted by ASI Harpreet Singh.

\*\*\*\*

**YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR, J. (Oral)**

1. Prayer in this petition under Section 482 of Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.94 dated 11.07.2024, registered under Sections 190, 191(3), 194(2), 109, 115(2) of BNS, 2023 and Section 25/54/59 of Arms Act at Police Station Division No.2, District Pathankot.

2. In compliance of order dated 29.09.2025 passed by this Court, status report by way of an affidavit dated 26.11.2025 of Jagdish Raj, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub-Division City, District Pathankot filed in the Registry on behalf of the respondent-State is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at an appropriate place.

3. On 29.09.2025, the following order was passed:-

*“Prayer in this petition under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.94 dated 11.07.2024, registered under Sections 190, 191(3), 194(2), 109, 115(2) of BNS, 2023 and Section 25/54/59 of Arms Act at Police Station Division No.2, District Pathankot.*



*Notice of motion. Mr. G.S. Dhaliwal, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.*

*The present case was registered on the basis of statement given to the police by SI/SHO Shohrat Maan with the allegations that on 11.07.2024, he was present at turn of Truck Union, Pathankot along with other police officials, when he received a secret information to the effect that on 10.07.2024 in the evening at about 04:30 PM, two groups had a serious fight at CIA Chowk Pathankot, in which one party comprising Rahul Choudhary @ Chucha armed with illegal pistol, Sahib Singh Saini and four unknown persons and on the other side, Manav armed with datar, Ajay Pal Singh armed with stick and two unknown persons indulged in open fight and caused injuries to each other and Rahul Choudhary @ Chucha fired a shot at Manav's party and Manav gave direct datar blow on the person of second party and both the parties have suffered injuries. After registration of FIR, the matter was investigated. Apprehending arrest, the petitioner applied for anticipatory bail, which was rejected by the trial Court.*

*Learned counsel for the petitioner argued that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. He has not been named in the FIR. Similarly situated co-accused namely Manjot Singh @ Jot has already been granted anticipatory bail by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 05.12.2024, who was also not named in the FIR. Learned counsel contended that petitioner is ready to join the investigation and to abide by the conditions that may be imposed by the Court and benefit of anticipatory bail be extended in his favour.*

*On the other hand, learned State counsel has opposed the bail and argued that petitioner has committed a heinous offence and he does not deserve the concession of anticipatory bail.*

*Adjourned to 27.11.2025 for filing status report. Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and in the event of his arrest, he shall be admitted to ad-*



*interim bail on furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer, subject to the following conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of the BNSS [erstwhile Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.]:-*

- i) that the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;*
- ii) that the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;*
- iii) that the petitioner shall not leave India without the prior permission of the Court;*
- iv) such other condition as may be imposed under sub-section (3) of Section 480, as if the bail were granted under that section.”*

3. Today, on instructions from ASI Harpreet Singh, learned State counsel has informed this Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation, in compliance of the order dated 29.09.2025 and is no longer required for further investigation.

4. In view of the aforesaid, the order dated 29.09.2025, whereby the petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail, is hereby made absolute. However, he shall continue to join investigation, if and so required by the Investigating Officer.

5. Disposed of.

6. Pending misc application (s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

**(YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR)**  
**JUDGE**

27.11.2025

*Ali*

Whether speaking/reasoned  
Whether Reportable

Yes/No  
Yes/No