



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

283

CWP-29336-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision:09.12.2025

KS PROPMART PRIVATE LIMITED

...PETITIONER

versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARMOD GOYAL**

Present: Mr. Deepak Gupta, Advocate,
Ms. Ramneek Kaur Sandhu, Advocate and
Mr. Jagpal Singh, Advocate
for petitioner.

Mr. Sourabh Goel, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

LISA GILL, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for petitioner, at the very outset, submits that the controversy at hand is squarely covered by order dated 10.12.2024, passed by the Co-ordinate Bench in **CWP No.18967 of 2020** (Tecnimont Spa India Project Office Vs. State of Punjab and another) and other connected matters. It is submitted that present petition be disposed of in the same terms. Reference is also made to subsequent orders dated 24.01.2025 and 05.03.2025, passed in **CWP No.1399 of 2025** and **CWP No.4977 of 2025**, respectively, which were disposed of in terms of CWP No.18967 of 2020.

2. Learned counsel for respondents, on instructions from Mr. Chap Singh, ETO Gurugram (East), accepts that the matter is indeed covered by abovesaid decision(s) and is not distinguishable and agrees



that this petition be disposed of in terms of order dated 10.12.2024 in CWP-18967-2020.

3. Keeping in view facts and circumstances as above and specific stand of parties, this writ petition is disposed of in terms of order dated 10.12.2024 passed in **CWP No.18967 of 2020** (Tecnimont Spa India Project Office Vs. State of Punjab and another).
4. Pending application(s), if any, stand disposed of.

(LISA GILL)
JUDGE

(PARMOD GOYAL)
JUDGE

09.12.2025
Sunil Chander

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No