



CRM-M-55390-2025

235

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-55390-2025
Decided on : 01.12.2025**

Sahil @ Tala @ Sahil Gill

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Arjunveer Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.


Mr. Sukhbeer Singh, DAG Punjab

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (ORAL)

1. Petitioner-Sahil @ Tala @ Sahil Gill has filed instant petition under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 439 Cr.P.C.), seeking regular bail in case FIR No. 124 dated 25.10.2024 registered under Sections 109, 118(2), 118(1), 117(2), 126(2), 115(2), 191(3), 61(2), 190 of BNS at Police Station Sadar Nakodar, District Jalandhar.

2. As per allegations levelled in FIR by the complainant-Simrandeep Singh, total seven accused were there, who were riding on two motorcycles and they all have caused injuries to the complainant, who was empty handed.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that none of the injury has been declared dangerous to life. He further argues that despite there being no specification regarding causing of injuries, other co-accused namely (i) Ravi alias Ravi Kumar, (ii) Karan, (iii) Nitesh alias Nannu, (iv) Ajay Kumar alias Billa and

**CRM-M-55390-2025**

(v) Lakhwinder Singh alias Khana have already been ordered to be released on bail by the Court below (Additional Sessions Judge, Jalandhar), who all were similarly situated, qua the role attributed to them in the present case. The petitioner has also not been assigned any specific injury in the FIR or any specific weapon. He further argues that the intention has been inferred by the Investigating Officer on his own, without there being any medical opinion. Further argues that as per medico legal examination, injuries No. 1, 2, 3, 5 and 6 were noticed to have been caused by blunt weapon whereas only one injury i.e. injury No. 4 was with sharp weapon. He further argues that injured-Simrandeep Singh was discharged from the hospital long before and presently hale and hearty and, thus, prays for grant of regular bail.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel submits that the petitioner is involved in heinous offence and looking at the allegations in its entirety that the injured, who was empty handed had been brutally caused injuries by several persons, does not deserve any sympathetic view for considering his plea of bail.

5. I have considered the submissions addressed by learned counsel for the parties and gone through the petition. Undoubtedly, six injuries were caused to the complainant-Simrandeep Singh and five of them have been attributed with blunt weapon. As pointed out, other co-accused, who are similarly situated, have already been released by the Court below and said fact has been examined by learned State counsel also while arguing the petition and clearly stated that he is unable to create any distinction viz. a viz. role attributed to the petitioner and other co-accused, who have already been granted bail by the Court below.

6. Accordingly, prayer made in the present petition is allowed. Petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the



CRM-M-55390-2025

satisfaction of the learned trial Court/ Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Illaqa Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case.

7. Needless to observe that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witness in any manner directly or indirectly.

8. Any of the discussion done and recorded here above, shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the facts of the case. Therefore, trial Court is expected to decide the case by taking an independent view, on the basis of evidence available on record, as expeditiously as possible, in accordance with law.

9. Petition stands disposed of.

December 01, 2025

reena

**(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE**

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES/NO

Whether Reportable: YES/NO