



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

214

**CRM-M No.55395 of 2025
Date of decision : 6.11.2025**

Rashpal Singh**Petitioner**

Versus

State of Punjab**Respondent**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Ms. Harkirat Kaur, Advocate, for the petitioners
Mr. Jaypreet Singh, DAG, Punjab

SUMEET GOEL, J. (ORAL)

1. On 30.9.2025, the following order was passed:

'Apprehending his arrest in FIR No.220 dated 19.10.2024 registered for offences punishable under Sections 420, 406 IPC and Section 13 of the Punjab Travel Professionals (Regulation) Act, 2014 BNS 2023 at Police Station Kotwali, District Kapurthala; the petitioner has preferred this petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking pre-arrest bail.

Counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that the petitioner is a man aged 63 years, the prime allegations against the petitioner that he had introduced the FIR-complainant to the co-accused namely Inderjit Kaur Chabbra and Sham Chabbra & the petitioner is willing to join investigation and cooperate therein.

Notice of motion.

On the strength of advance notice; Mr. Gurpartap S. Bhullar, AAG, Punjab. has entered appearance on behalf of the respondent-State of Punjab.

Adjourned to 06.11.2025.

The petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating Officer on 09.10.2025 at 11:00 A.M. in concerned Police Station and join investigation. In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal/surety bond(s) to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. As and when further called by Investigating Officer, the petitioner shall join the investigation. He shall abide by the condition(s) enumerated under Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.'



2. Learned State counsel (on instructions) submits that pursuant to the order dated 30.9.2025, the petitioner has joined investigation and is no longer required for custodial interrogation.
3. In view of the above, the instant petition is allowed. The interim order dated 30.9.2025, passed by this Court is hereby confirmed, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS.
4. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.
5. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violate any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS or upon showing any other sufficient cause.
6. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

6.11.2025
Ashwanii

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No