



CRM-M-54928-2025

-1-

(221)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-54928-2025

Date of Decision: 19.11.2025

AMRITPAL SINGH**... Petitioner****Versus****STATE OF PUNJAB****...Respondent****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI**Present: Mr. Gurnoor Singh Sethi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. M.S. Toor, AAG Punjab.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J.

The prayer in the present petition under Section 483 BNSS, 2023 is for the grant of regular bail in case bearing FIR No.164 dated 20.07.2025 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 126(2), 118(1), 115(2), 190, 191(3) of BNS (Sections 118(2), 238 and 61(2) of BNSS added later on) at Police Station Kartarpur, District Jalandhar Rural.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that taking the allegations to be correct, a grievous injury on the person of injured Harpreet Singh has been attributed to the petitioner. The said Harpreet Singh has not suffered any permanent damage to his limbs and is carrying on his daily pursuits. As he is in custody since 25.07.2025 but none of the 18 prosecution witnesses has been examined so far, the trial of the present case is not likely

**CRM-M-54928-2025****-2-**

to be concluded anytime soon and therefore, he is entitled to the concession of bail.

3. On the other hand, the learned State counsel has filed a reply dated 15.11.2025, which is taken on record. While referring to the said reply, he contends that the petitioner is the main accused having caused a grievous injury on the person of the injured/Harpreet Singh. He is also an accused in 03 other cases. The nature of the allegations levelled against him as also his antecedents does not entitle him to the concession of bail as prayed for. He, however concedes that the petitioner is in custody since 25.07.2025 and that none of the 18 prosecution witnesses has been examined so far.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

5. The veracity of the prosecution case against the petitioner shall be adjudicated upon during the course of the Trial. Admittedly, the petitioner is in custody since 25.07.2025 but none of the 18 prosecution witnesses has been examined so far. Therefore, the Trial of the present case is not likely to be concluded anytime soon. In this situation the further incarceration of the petitioner is not required.

6. Thus without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and petitioner-Amritpal Singh S/o Dev is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned CJM/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

7. The petitioner shall appear before the police station concerned on the first Monday of every month till the conclusion of the trial and inform

**CRM-M-54928-2025****-3-**

in writing each time that he is not involved in any other crime other than the present case.

8. If the petitioner or his family members/associates make any attempt to contact/threaten/intimidate the witnesses in the present case, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of bail granted vide this order.

9. In addition, the petitioner (or anyone on his behalf) shall prepare an FDR in the sum of Rs.50,000/- and deposit the same with the Trial Court. The same would be liable to be forfeited as per law in case of the absence of the petitioner from trial without sufficient cause.

10. The petition stands disposed of.

19.11.2025**Kusum****(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No
Whether reportable:- Yes/No