



104

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-29308-2025

Date of decision: 29.09.2025

NANDRAM SHARMA

...Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present:- Mr. Rahul Jaswal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Udit Garg, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing the respondents to forthwith consider and decide the application (Annexure P-8) of the petitioner for renewal of his arms licence or to direct respondent No.4 to decide the representation (Annexure P-10) in accordance with the provisions of the Arms Act and Rules framed thereunder within a stipulated period.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner had applied for renewal of the arms licence on 14.02.2025 vide Annexure P-8 but till date the same has not been considered by the concerned authority and in this way, acute prejudice has been caused to the petitioner.



3. At this stage, Mr. Udit Garg, Addl. A.G., Haryana submitted that he has received an advance copy of the present petition and has also sought instructions in the present case. He submitted that he has specific instructions from the concerned licensing authority/appropriate authority to state that the aforesaid application (Annexure P-8) of the petitioner shall be considered and decided in accordance with law, within a period of 8 weeks from today.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that in view of the aforesaid statement made by the learned State counsel on instructions, the present petition may be disposed of as having become infructuous.

5. Ordered accordingly.

29.09.2025
Chetan Thakur

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No