



**229 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-55221-2025
Date of decision: 06.11.2025**

JASBIR SINGH @ RAKESH SINGH @ JASBEER SINGH

...Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR

Present: Mr. Inderjit Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Deepak Grover, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rahul Jindal, AAG, Punjab.

YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the present petition under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case having FIR No.87 dated 19.07.2025 registered under Sections 109, 351(3), 224 of BNS, 2023 at Police Station Taragarh, Tehsil and District Pathankot.
2. Status report by way of an affidavit of Sukhjinder Pal Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police (Rural), District Pathankot filed on behalf of the State is taken on record.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel have been heard and material collected by the police during investigation has been perused.
4. Perusal of the file shows that as per prosecution version, the present applicant/accused had sent an application dated 10.03.2025 to



learned Sessions Judge, Pathankot with the averments that the police of Police Station Taragarh has not recorded his statements in his three applications. Applicant/accused has further submitted in his application that on 03.03.2025, a pistol was given by the police and instigated him to kill Ms. Jasbir Kaur, learned Principal Judge, Family Court, Pathankot. It is further mentioned in the application that for not following the orders, he was mentally and physically tortured by the police. Applicant/accused vide his application requested the learned Sessions Judge, Pathankot regarding (i) attachment of property in his criminal case titled as Geeta Saini Vs. Jasbir Singh pending in the Court of learned Principal Judge (Family Court), Pathankot, (ii) for disposal of letter received from Hon'ble High Court from Ms. Dazy Bangarh, learned Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division), Pathankot and (iii) to ask Sh. Sulakhan Singh, Advocate to file the case by providing the documents of Rs.2,000/- sought for filing the case. Applicant/accused has also sent an application to Learned Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division), Pathankot, wherein he has stated that his case was transferred from the court of Dr. Kushal Singla, Learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Pathankot in the Court of learned Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division), Pathankot and requested that he may kindly be provided justice, that could not be provided by learned Principal Judge (Family Court), Pathankot, who has disposed of his divorce case in anger and by learned Civil Judge (Senior Division), Pathankot.

5. Further perusal of the file shows that the learned Sessions Judge vide his confidential letter bearing DO No. 6113 dated 18.07.2025



has forwarded a copy of letter no. 6113 dated 18.07.2025 vide which present applicant/accused has given the threats to Ms. Jasbir Kaur, learned Principal Judge (Family Court), Pathankot to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Pathankot. On the directions of learned District & Sessions Judge, Pathankot, the present FIR was registered against present applicant/accused under Sections 109, 351(3), 224 of BNS.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that no offence under Section 109 of BNS is made out against the petitioner and he had merely sent a letter to the Presiding Officer of the Family Court allegedly threatening to kill her. It will only fall within the definition of hampering of judicial process or causing intimidation. Petitioner is in custody since 20.07.2025. Challan has already been presented after completion of investigation. The trial will take sufficiently long time to conclude and as such, no useful purpose will be served by detaining the petitioner in custody anymore and he may be released on bail.

7. On the other hand, learned State counsel has opposed the bail and argued that in view of the gravity of offence, petitioner does not deserve the concession of bail.

8. Petitioner was having some matrimonial dispute and litigation was going on before the Family Court at Pathankot. He allegedly wrote a letter to the Presiding Officer, Family Court that police has supplied him the weapon to kill her and it is strange that the said letter has been written while he was in the custody of the police and it is highly improbable that any weapon would have been supplied to him. No attempt was ever made to kill anyone and in these circumstances, no



offence under Section 109 of BNS is made out. Challan has already been presented, after completion of investigation. The trial is likely to take sufficiently long time to conclude and no useful purpose will, thus, be served by detaining the petitioner in custody anymore.

9. Having regard to the aforesaid factual position, but without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the bail application is allowed and petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned, on usual terms and conditions.

10. Pending misc. application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

06.11.2025
Priyanka Thakur

(YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No