



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

137

CRWP-10493-2025

Date of decision : 25.09.2025

MOHAMMAD ANISH AND ANOTHER

..... PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

..... RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA PARTAP SINGH

Present : Mr. Naveen Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. Karan Singh Rana, Advocate
for the petitioners.

SURYA PARTAP SINGH. J.(Oral)

1. The instant petition has been filed by the petitioners for directions to the respondents No.2 and 3 to protect their life and liberty from the respondents No.4 to 6.

2. The learned counsel representing the petitioners submits that the petitioners have filed the representation dated 19.09.2025 (Annexure P-3) before the respondent No.2. The learned counsel prays for disposing of the present petition by issuing directions to the respondent No.2 to decide the abovementioned representation within a stipulated time.

3. Notice of motion to the respondents No.1 to 3 only.

4. Since advance notice has already been served upon the State, Mr. Eklavya Darshi, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3, and waives service.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that a direction for disposing of the representation of the petitioners (Annexure P-3) be given to the respondent No.2 and that the instant writ petition be disposed of accordingly.

6. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, the present writ petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to the respondent No.2 to decide the representation of the petitioners (Annexure P-3) by passing a speaking order within a period of 15 days from the date of receipt of copy of instant order. It is further directed that the petitioners shall be provided requisite safety and security to protect their life and liberty, if required. It is however clarified that any observation is not being made by this Court with regard to threat perception to the petitioners or the validity of the relationship of the petitioners. The police authority of District Malerkotla shall be at liberty to make an independent assessment of the threat perception to the petitioners, and take a decision on the issue of providing adequate security to them.

(SURYA PARTAP SINGH)
JUDGE

25.09.2025

vipin

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether Reportable	:	No