



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

211

CRM-M-54616-2025 (O & M)

Date of decision: 20.11.2025

AMARJIT KAUR

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Khushdeep Singh Mann, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, DAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 BNSS for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.126 dated 03.06.2025, registered under Section 108 BNS, at Police Station Lopoke, District Amrtisar Rural.

2. On 01.10.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel contends that the petitioner though was a member of the committees, which the deceased was running, however, had not been in contact with him for several months prior to the incident in which she had no role to play and there is no allegation levelled which would have made her case fall within the definition of abetment as defined under Section 45 BNS, so as to constitute the offence under Section 108 BNS. There was, in fact, a money dispute between the deceased and co-accused Shamsher Singh @ Shera, who had allegedly taken away his money. 14 persons, including Sarpanch and other respectables of the village, have been falsely implicated in the present case by levelling vague allegations. Further that, her father-in-law has passed away on 16.09.2025 whose ‘bhog ceremony’ is to be held, card for which has been appended as Annexure P-3. She is not

involved in any other case. She is ready and willing to join the investigation as and when required by the investigating agency and will cooperate.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. M.S.Bajwa, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and files reply by way of affidavit of the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Rajasansi, Amritsar Rural which is taken on record, copy whereof has been furnished to the counsel opposite.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation on or before 09.10.2025. In the event of her arrest, she shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance of conditions as enshrined under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioner does not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.

Adjourned to 20.11.2025.”

3. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the aforementioned order, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, she shall make herself available without demur.
4. Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Amolak Singh affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner and cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.
5. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 01.10.2025 granting interim bail to her, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

6. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to her.

20.11.2025

parveen kumar

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No