

**223 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

2025-PHHC-148304



**CRM-M-54749-2025 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION : 27.10.2025**

SAHIL ALIAS GOLU

... PETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA

... RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present: Mr. Maneet Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Karanveer Singh, Sr. DAG Haryana.

* * *

SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (ORAL)

1. By way of the present petition, the petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.685 dated 13.12.2024 registered under Sections 109(1), 115, 117(2), 118(1), 190, 191(3), 324(4), 333, 351(2) and 238 of BNS, 2023 at Police Station Thanesar Sadar, District Kurukshetra.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that allegations against the present petitioner are that he was armed with *danda* and gave *danda* blow on the leg of injured Shravan Kumar and main injury is attributed to Sahil @ Bhulekha. The present petitioner is in custody since 15.12.2024 i.e. for the last 10 months. The investigation qua the present petitioner has already been completed. He is not involved in any other criminal activity except the present case. The other co-accused namely Manvinder Singh @ Mohit Malik has already been granted regular bail by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 31.07.2025 in CRM-M-14308-2025 and the case of the present petitioner is almost on the similar footing.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Karanveer Singh, Sr. DAG Haryana accepted the notice on behalf of the respondent-State. Learned State counsel vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner on the ground that the present petitioner has been specifically named in the FIR. A specific role has been attributed to him that he was armed with *danda* and gave *danda* blow to the complainant. However, it has not been disputed by the learned State counsel that the case of the present petitioner is on similar footing with that of co-accused Manvinder Singh @ Mohit Malik who has been granted regular bail by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court.

5. Heard.

6. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that the role attributed to the present petitioner is that he was armed with *danda* and gave *danda* blow on the leg of injured Shravan Kumar and main injury is not attributed to the present petitioner; co-accused of the petitioner has already been granted regular bail by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court; present petitioner is in custody since 15.12.2024 i.e. for the last 10 months; the investigation has already been completed; trial will take sufficient time to conclude and no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping him in custody for any further period, as concession of bail cannot be denied just as a measure of punishment and it is trite principle of criminal jurisprudence that bail is rule, jail is an exception, this Court deems it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

7. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the

trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

8. Nothing observed hereinabove shall be construed to be expression of an opinion by this Court on merits of the case. The learned Court below is directed to proceed with the matter on its own merits, lest it may prejudice the trial.

27.10.2025

Janki

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No

(SUBHAS MEHLA)

JUDGE