

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

105

CRM-M-54574-2025

Date of Decision : 25.09.2025

RAHUL @ GOLI

.... PETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA


.... RESPONDENT

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present : Mr.Vishal Sharda, Advocate
for the petitioner.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.225 dated 20.06.2025 registered under Sections 110, 115, 126, 118(2), 3(5), 351(2) of BNS, 2023 (Section 117 BNS added later on) at Police Station Madhuban, District Karnal.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that there is a delay of 24 hours in registration of FIR. It was submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and the injury as alleged to the complainant is simple in nature and not on a vital part. Learned counsel further submitted that the petitioner is ready to join the investigation and prayed for grant of concession of anticipatory bail.
3. Notice of motion.
4. Mr. Aditya Pal Singla, AAG, Haryana, accepted notice on behalf of respondent-State and on instructions from SI Ram Mehar submitted that the petitioner was armed with sword at the time of incident



and he gave a sword blow to the complainant. The complainant was medico-legally examined, the ocular version of the complainant is supported by medical evidence. Petitioner is required for custodial interrogation for recovery of weapon of offence. Learned counsel also apprised that one more case of similar nature is pending against the present petitioner.

6. Heard.

7. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case, as specific role is attributed to the present petitioner in the commission of offence; regarding antecedents of the present petitioner, he is also involved in one more case of similar nature; his custodial interrogation is required for recovery of weapon of offence and for collection of evidence, this Court finds no merit in the present petition for grant of discretionary relief of anticipatory bail to the present petitioner.

8. Dismissed.

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

25.09.2025

anju

Whether speaking/reasoned	: Yes/No
Whether Reportable	: Yes/No