



206

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-56563-2024
Date of decision: 09.01.2025

Ajay

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Sandeep Kumar Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Geeta Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

This petition has been filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR
No.157 dated 07.07.2024 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 406/420 of IPC
registered at Police Station Ateli, District Mahendergarh.

On 14.11.2024, the following order was passed:-

'1. Through the instant petition, as instituted under Section 482 of the B.N.S.S., the petitioner seeks the concession of anticipatory bail, in case FIR No.157 dated 07.07.2024, under Sections 406 and 420 of the IPC, registered at P.S. Ateli, District Mahendergarh.

2. At the outset itself, the learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, without admitting the allegations levelled in the present FIR, rather to show his bona fide, the petitioner is ready and willing to deposit the amount of ₹ 3,97,000/-, as alleged to be received through bank transactions, with the investigating officer, through drawing a demand draft in favour of the complainant.

3. Notice of motion for 17.12.2024.

4. Mr. Bhupender Singh, D.A.G., Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State of Haryana.

5. In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and to appear before the investigating agency, as and when called upon to do so. In the event of his arrest, he shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S., 2023.

6. Moreover, the petitioner is also directed to, in accordance with the



CRM-M-56563-2024

-2-

submissions (supra) made by his counsel, furnish a demand draft of ₹ 3,97,000/- drawn in favour of the complainant, before the investigating officer, at the time of joining investigation.'

Learned State counsel on instructions from Inspector Devender, at the very outset informs the Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of the statement of learned State counsel, order dated 14.11.2024 is hereby made absolute. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions envisaged under Section 482 (2) of BNSS (earlier Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.).

The petition stands disposed of.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

09.01.2025

Neha

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No