



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(Sr. No. 126)

CWP No.29322 of 2025

Date of decision: 29.09.2025

M/s Jaycon Infrastructure Ltd.

.....Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RAMESH KUMARI**

Present : Mr. Sandeep Goyal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Yogesh Putney, Senior Standing Counsel and
Mr. Vidul Kapoor, Junior Standing Counsel
for the respondent(s)- Income Tax Department.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

(1) Learned counsel for the petitioner restricts his claim only to the challenge to the notice dated 30.03.2025 (Annexure P-2) issued to the petitioner by the respondents under Section 148 of the Income Tax Act, 1961.

(2) The primary ground of challenge raised by the petitioner is that the impugned notice has been issued by the Jurisdictional Assessing Officer which could have not been done because in terms of the notification dated 29.03.2022 (Annexure P-6), issued by the Ministry of Finance, Government



of India, the impugned notice could have been issued only by way of faceless assessment.

(3) In support of his afore submission, learned counsel for the petitioner places reliance on the following two judgments of this Court:-

- (i) CWP-15745-2024, titled ***Jatinder Singh Bhangu Vs. Union of India*** and others decided on 19.07.2024,
- (ii) CWP-21509-2023, titled ***Jasjit Singh Vs. Union of India and others*** decided on 29.07.2024.

(4) Learned counsel for the respondents does not dispute the fact that the case of the petitioner is covered in his favour by the law laid down through the aforesaid two judgments rendered by two different Co-ordinate Benches of this Court in ***Jatinder Singh Bhangu's*** and ***Jasjit Singh's*** cases (supra).

(5) In the light of the above, in terms of the law laid down in the cases of ***Jatinder Singh Bhangu*** and ***Jasjit Singh*** (supra), the impugned notice dated 30.03.2025 (Annexure P-2), issued by the Jurisdictional Assessing Officer, is hereby quashed with liberty to the respondents to proceed against the petitioner in accordance with law.

(6) The petition is allowed in the above terms.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

29.09.2025

sunil yadav

(RAMESH KUMARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No