



CRM-M-55193-2025

-1-

**228 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-55193-2025

Date of decision: 28.10.2025

MANJEET SINGH @ MANJEET**...PETITIONER****VERSUS****STATE OF HARYANA****...RESPONDENT****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA**

Present: Mr. Dhananjay, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Karanveer Singh, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (ORAL)

1. By way of the present petition, the petitioner is seeking regular bail in case FIR No.145 dated 14.04.2022 under Sections 302, 323, 506 IPC and Section 27 of Arms Act, registered at Police Station Sadar Hansi, District Hisar (Annexure P-1).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that this is the third bail petition as earlier two bail petitions of the petitioner were dismissed on merits by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide orders dated 08.12.2023 and 08.01.2025 passed in CRM-M-45765-2023 and CRM-M-59336-2024 respectively. Learned counsel further submits that trial is moving at a snail pace and petitioner is in custody since 15.04.2022 i.e. for the last more than 03 and a half years.

3. On advance notice, learned State counsel submits that out of 28 witnesses, only 02 witnesses have been examined so far, while 19 witnesses are yet to be examined.

4. Heard.

5. Keeping in view the facts and circumstance of the case, the present

**CRM-M-55193-2025****-2-**

petition stands disposed off with a direction to the Trial Court to expedite the conclusion of trial preferably within a period of 6 months from the next date of hearing fixed before it. If the trial Court fails to conclude the trial within the stipulated period, then the petitioner would be at liberty to move an appropriate application seeking grant of bail before the Trial Court. Upon such application being filed, the Trial Court shall release the petitioner on bail subject to his furnishing requisite bonds to its satisfaction by imposing such condition that his presence of petitioner can be secured during the trial, as the petitioner is in custody since 15.04.2022 i.e. for the last more than 03 years and 06 months. It is made clear that if the delay in the trial is on the part of the petitioner or accused, then petitioner shall not be entitled for the aforesaid relief.

28.10.2025

*renubala***(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No