IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

102-2 Date of Decision: 06.11.2025
COCP-4958-2025 (O&M)

Baldeva …Petitioner

V/s

Pankaj Goyal and another …Respondents

II) COCP-4967-2025 (O&M)

Suresh Kumar …Petitioner

V/s

Pankaj Goyal and another …Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Himanshu Bansal, Advocate, for the petitioner(s).
Mr. Anuj Garg, DAG, Haryana.

***

VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J (ORAL)

This order shall dispose of the afore titled two contempt petitions, both alleging willful violation of the order dated 03.12.2024 (Annexure P-1) passed in CWP-14071-2016. However, the facts are being derived from COCP-4967-2025

2. The petitioner was working as a Beldar-cum-Mali with the District Forest Officer, Kaithal and worked as such from July 2002 to October 2023, when he was retrenched. He approached the Labour Court, which also non-suited him. After which, he instituted CWP-14071-2016. The said writ petition was disposed off on 03.12.2024:-

> ***"4. The Labour Court has recorded categoric finding to the effect that petitioner failed to prove that he had worked for 240 days during 12 months' preceding the date of his retrenchment. He could not prove his claim by way of salary-slip, appointment letter, attendance register etc.***
>
> ***5. Mr. J.S. Cooner, Advocate submits that petitioner is unemployed since 2013 and being illiterate could not prove his case before the Labour Court. The grievance of the petitioner would be redressed, if respondents***

VIKAS CHANDER
2025.11.07 16.19
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

are directed to reconsider him for the work because respondent are still engaging workers through contractor or other means.

6. Ms. Harsh Rekha Kapoor, AAG, Haryana submits that competent authority would sympathetically consider case of petitioners and endeavour to engage as and when work is available."

3. The respondents have passed an order dated 04.11.2025, which has been produced and is taken on record. A copy thereof has been given to learned counsel for the petitioner(s), who submits that the petitioner(s) shall, if so advised, avail all such remedies as shall be admissible in law against the said order and he does not press the present contempt petitions.

4. That being so, the instant contempt petitions are dismissed as having been withdrawn. Rule stands discharged.

5. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

November 06, 2025
vcgarg

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No

VIKAS CHANDER
2025.11.07 16.19
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document