



CWP-28801-2025

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

114

CWP-28801-2025

Date of Decision: 25.09.2025

Sukhdev Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: - Mr. Sarthak Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Aman Dhir, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of communication dated 22.08.2025 whereby his claim as dependent of Ex-Serviceman for the post of Constable has been rejected.

2. Pursuant to Advertisement No.1/2025 dated 12.02.2025, the petitioner applied for the post of Constable. The selection process comprises three stages. The petitioner has cleared first stage, however, he has not been called for second stage on the ground that he is dependent of Ex-Serviceman and requisite number of Ex-Servicemen are available, thus, dependents of Ex-Servicemen cannot be considered.

3. Mr. Aman Dhir, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who on advance notice is present in Court on behalf of respondent-State, at the outset, submits that respondent has shortlisted Ex-Servicemen for second stage. The selection at this stage has taken place for the purpose of second stage and if candidates selected for second stage are not finally appointed to



CWP-28801-2025

-2-

the extent of advertised posts, the dependents of Ex-Serviceman would be considered and thereafter subjected to PMT/PST.

4. Faced with this, learned counsel for the petitioner does not press the present petition.
5. Dismissed as not pressed.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

25.09.2025
Mohit Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No