

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-58480-2024
Date of decision: 20.05.2025

ROBANPREET SINGH

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Manpreet Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. K.D. Sachdeva, DAG Punjab.

• • • • •

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 482 BNSS, 2023, the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR (Annexure P-1) as under: -

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
137	21.09.2024	115(2), 118(1), 191(3), 190, 332 (C) BNS (Section 333 BNS added later on)	Shahkot, District Jalandhar.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance to the order dated 27.11.2024, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

3. During the course of hearing on 27.11.2024, following order had been passed: -

“ Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is falsely named in the FIR and otherwise also, all the injuries alleged to be on the person of Nirmal Singh are



found to be simple in nature. He further submits that the petitioner is ready to join investigation with the police.

State counsel on instructions from ASI Puran Singh submits that at the time of the occurrence, the petitioner was armed with sword with which he caused simple injuries to the complainant.

Present petition is also resisted by the counsel for the complainant who submits that at the time of the occurrence, the petitioner and his accomplices trespassed into a shop where the complainant was present and was assaulted by the accused persons including the petitioner who was armed with sword. Counsel for the complainant further submits that even after the occurrence, the petitioner is extending threats to cause further harm to the complainant and in this regard, complaint dated 28.10.2024 is already given to the police authorities. As per copy of MLR of Nirmal Singh which is produced on record, it appears that all the injuries on the person of Nirmal Singh were found to be simple in nature.

In light of above, the petitioner is hereby directed to join the investigation with the police and in case of arrest, he is to be released on interim bail by the investigating officer/arresting officer to his own satisfaction till the next date fixed in this case. The petitioner is also to abide by the conditions envisaged under Section 482 (2) of BNSS.

Now be listed on 4.2.2025.”

4. Learned State counsel on instructions received from ASI Boota Ram informs the Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is neither required for further investigation nor for any custodial interrogation.

5. Keeping in view the above submissions made by learned State counsel and the fact that the petitioner had joined the investigation consequent to the order dated 27.11.2024 passed by this Court, interim bail granted vide order dated 27.11.2024 is hereby confirmed, subject to

conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS. Further the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioner; he will not tamper with the evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

6. The petition stands allowed.
7. It is made clear that anything contained hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

20.05.2025
puneet

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

i)	Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
ii)	Whether reportable?	Yes/No