



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

208

**CWP-36912-2019 (O&M)
Date of decision : 27.11.2025**

ROHINI ATTRI

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA

Present :- Mr. Nitish Kaushal, Advocate for
Mr. Naresh Kaushal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Neha Sonawane, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Abhishek Kaushik, Advocate for
Mr. Vipul Jindal, Advocate
for respondents No.2 to 4.

Deepinder Singh Nalwa, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondents No.2 to 4-University submits that the University has taken a decision not to go ahead with the selection process in pursuance to the advertisement dated 24.10.2019 (Annexure P-6). As such, no relief can be granted to the petitioner, and the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous.

2. In light of the statement given by learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondents No.2 to 4-University that the advertisement dated 24.10.2019 (Annexure P-6) has been cancelled, the present writ petition is disposed of as rendered infructuous.



CWP-36912-2019

:2:

3. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to file an application for revival of the writ petition if any cause of action survives.

(DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA)
JUDGE

27.11.2025
Rimpal

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable :	Yes/No